

Introduction

Thank you for taking time to complete the Local EBDM Readiness Survey for the State EBDM Project Planning Team. Please remember to be as honest as possible in your responses.

* 1. Jurisdiction Information:

County/Tribe:

Contact Name:

Email Address:

Phone Number:

Policy Level Collaboration

***2. Are the individual stakeholders listed below philosophically committed to using empirical research to guide decision making in their respective roles/areas of practice in your criminal justice system?**

	Yes	No	Unclear
Law enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Victim advocates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Prosecution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Defense	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community corrections/probation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County/tribal human services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County or tribal executives/administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County board or tribal legislature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community representatives/public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

***3. Are the individual stakeholders listed below philosophically committed to collaborating to ensure that empirical research guides decision making across all areas of your criminal justice system?**

	Yes	No	Unclear
Law enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Victim advocates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Prosecution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Defense	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community corrections/probation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County/tribal human services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County or tribal executives/administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County board or tribal legislature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community representatives/public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

***4. Please indicate whether you have an existing or planned/proposed local criminal justice policy team.**

- Existing
- Planned/proposed
- No current plans

***5. Are the individual stakeholders listed below represented (as measured by formal inclusion and routine participation) on an existing or planned/proposed local criminal justice policy team?**

	Yes	No	Unclear
Law enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Victim advocates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Prosecution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Defense	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community corrections/probation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County/tribal human services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County or tribal executives/administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County board or tribal legislature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community representatives/public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

***6. Please tell us about who oversees, manages or coordinates the functions of the team:**

Contact Name:

Position:

Agency:

***7. Is this person full or part-time?**

- Full-time
- Part-time

***8. If you do not have a criminal justice team, please indicate which of the individual stakeholders listed below are willing to commit to inclusion and routine participation on a criminal justice policy team?**

	Yes	No	Unclear
Law enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Victim advocates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Prosecution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Defense	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community corrections/probation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County/tribal human services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County or tribal executives/administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County board or tribal legislature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community representatives/public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

***9. Has a forum for collaborative work been formally established to take on this Evidence Based Decision Making Initiative (e.g. there is a mechanism for meeting on a regular basis, work to be accomplished has been defined, operating norms/ground rules have been established)?**

- Yes
- Not yet, but steps have been taken
- Steps have not yet been taken

***10. How confident are you that your jurisdiction will be successful in engaging all key stakeholder agencies in your jurisdiction in this EBDM Framework initiative and sustaining their involvement over the long-term?**

- Very
- Somewhat
- Not at all

***11. Please identify which stakeholder within your county/tribe would oversee implementation of the EBDM framework?**

Name:

Position:

Agency:

***12. Have the stakeholders developed a system-wide vision and an agreement on a common set of goals?**

Yes

No

***13. Are the stakeholders committed to discussing and reaching agreement on a system-wide vision and common goals?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

Community Engagement Efforts

***14. Have the stakeholders developed a deliberate strategy to educate the local community (e.g., representatives of various interest groups as well as citizens at large) about relevant crime and risk reduction research and efforts underway to apply these findings locally?**

Yes

No

***15. Have the stakeholders identified methods to actively engage community representatives in their strategic planning efforts?**

Yes

No

***16. Have the stakeholders begun to implement this community education strategy?**

Yes

No

Evidence-Based Knowledge

*** 17. Have stakeholder agencies equipped their individual agency leadership and staff with EBP knowledge/skills by conducting training and skill building events on practices that are evidence-based (e.g., how to conduct a validated risk/needs assessment and use the information in decision making; motivational interviewing skills; how to teach concrete problem solving skills)?**

	Yes	No	Unclear
Law enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Victim advocates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Prosecution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Defense	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Court Administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Judges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community corrections/probation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County/tribal human services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County or tribal executives/administrators	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County board or tribal legislature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community representatives/public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices

***18. Are validated assessment instruments (e.g., LSI-R, COMPAS) used to inform decisions for:**

- All/most types of cases
- Only certain types of cases (e.g., drug-related, sex offenses, other violent crimes)
- N/A (none used)

***19. Have stakeholders (e.g., judges, prosecutors, defenders) adopted mechanisms to acquire and use consistent assessment information (e.g., offender risk/needs information, knowledge regarding evidence-based programming) to inform individual case dispositions in any of the following ways?**

	Yes	No
Arrest decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cite vs. detain decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pretrial release decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Diversion decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Plea negotiation decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sentencing decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Jail programming decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community supervision-level decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community programming decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Violation decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Early termination decision	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

***20. Do stakeholder agencies agree that more intensive interventions are best reserved for higher risk offenders?**

- All agree
- Most agree
- Few agree
- None agree

***21. Do stakeholder agencies deliver services and interventions to offenders based on assessed criminogenic needs?**

- All deliver
- Most deliver
- Few deliver
- None deliver

Continuous Quality Improvement, Performance Measurement and Data Capacity

One of the core principles in EBDM is that criminal justice related systems must make use of the collection, analysis and use of data and information. Elements include:

- a set of agreed-upon performance measures that will enable an objective, empirical evaluation of the effectiveness of justice system agencies in achieving their vision;
- benchmarks against which longer-term outcomes can be measured; and
- methods to collect and analyze data on an ongoing basis to inform policy and practice.

This section focuses on the degree to which local data capacity exists for criminal justice system performance and outcome measures, and for individual agency performance and outcome measures.

***22. Have stakeholders agreed on a methodology to measure system-wide performance?**

- Yes
- No

***23. Are stakeholders committed to discussing and reaching agreement on a methodology to measure system-wide performance?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

***24. Are quality assurance mechanisms that assess fidelity of implementation in place to ensure evidence-based practices are incorporated into decision making at the system level?**

- Yes
- No

***25. Are stakeholders committed to developing and instituting quality assurance mechanisms that assess fidelity of implementation?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

***26. Are key benchmarks and performance measures in place to ensure evidence-based practices are incorporated into decision making at the system level?**

- Yes
- No

***27. Are stakeholders committed to developing and instituting key benchmarks and performance measures?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

***28. Are strategies to collaboratively assess benchmarks and performance measures and address identified performance issues in place to ensure evidence-based practices are incorporated into decision making at the system level?**

- Yes
- No

***29. Are stakeholders committed to developing and instituting strategies to collaboratively assess benchmarks and performance measures and address identified performance issues?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

*** 30. Please describe your current data collection and management infrastructure at the local level. Please exclude statewide systems such as CCAP and PROTECT.**

*** 31. Please describe your current ability to collect and analyze individual/participant level demographic, performance and outcome data within the context of your criminal justice system.**

*** 32. Describe your current capacity and infrastructure to conduct system analysis and evaluation.**

*** 33. Describe your current ability to conduct regular analysis of program service delivery and process/outcome evaluation.**

*** 34. Please identify the primary person, position, and agency responsible for the collection and analysis of data.**

Name:

Position:

Agency:

External Technical Assistance Needs

***35. Please indicate the amount or level of technical assistance your team needs in the following areas:**

	High	Moderate	Low	No need
Initially identifying/engaging the full range of necessary stakeholders	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Establishing a shared vision for the team	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Clarifying the roles and responsibilities of team members	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Establishing a results-driven structure for the team's operation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Developing mechanisms to promote long-term engagement of team members	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Establishing benchmarks, performance indicators, and outcome measures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equipping leadership across the system with knowledge about evidence-based principles/practices	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equipping practitioners across the system with knowledge about evidence-based principles/practices	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equipping practitioners across the system with evidence-based skills/competencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Raising awareness and engaging the public in the initiative	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Thank you for your assistance in the development of the Wisconsin application for the National Institute of Corrections Evidence Based Decision-Making Initiative!