## CRC Caseload Update as of Friday June 10

This report provides data on the CRC with regard to cases completed and the current active caseload.

To date the CRC has engaged 30 respondents. Of these, 3 respondents (or $10 \%$ ) decided to not participate in the CRC after circles were completed; 2 (or 7\%) have been terminated for non compliance. These were all municipal violations that are not recorded on CCAP. Tickets have or will be re-issued soon.

19 of 30 respondents (or 63\%) have been completed by the CRC. Of the 19 processed respondents 14 (or $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ ) have been successful in terms of completing the requirements of their "repair harm agreement.". Repair harm agreements typically involve community service and in some cases restitution. Going forward, we will check to see if respondents involved in these cases have committed any additional offenses at 6 and 12 month intervals.

## Of the 19 processed respondents,

12 (or 63\%) involved disorderly conduct charges;

3 were for solicitation;
1 for graffiti,
1 for obstruction;
1 for theft; and

1 for criminal damage to property.
$68 \%$ of these cases involved male respondents; $32 \%$ female.
Racially,
6 (or 32\%) were Latino males;
4 (or 21\%) were black females;
3 (or $16 \%$ ) were white males;
3 (or 16\%) were black males;
1 Asian male; 1 white female.; 1 Latino female.

## Of the $\mathbf{1 1}$ active respondents,

8 (or 73\%) involve disorderly conduct charges;
2 (or $18 \%$ ) involve criminal damage to property;
1 is theft.
8 (or $73 \%$ ) of the respondents are female; 3 are male.
Racially, 7 (or 64\%) are black females.
2 are black males (or 18\%);
1 is a white male;
1 is a white female.
We predict that 10 of 11 (or $91 \%$ ) of these respondents will be processed successfully.
In summary, CRC has engaged 30 cases -
20 (or 67\%) have involved disorderly conduct charges;
3 (or 10\%) for solicitation;
3 (or 10\%) for criminal damage to property;
2 (or 7\%) for theft; and
1 each for graffiti and obstruction (3\% each).
16 (or $53 \%$ ) of the respondents were male; 14 (or $47 \%$ ) were female.
Racially and gender-wise -
11 (or $37 \%$ ) were black females;
6 (or $22 \%$ ) were Latino males, 5 (or 17\%) black males,

4 (or $13 \%$ ) were white males;
2 Latino female (7\%)
1 white female (3\%); 1 Asian male (3\%).

