

## Statement of Henry Spelter concerning the CUP application CUP-2020-02510

February 9, 2020

---

I want to draw the committee's attention to the application's response to the "Summary of Concerns Regarding CUP #2510", item #5, page 4, last sentence:

"Information about how to access such monitoring data should be made available to the public."

The 254 word cloud that follows contains everything except that which was specifically sought, namely the designated branches of the supervisory agencies, the names of the contact person, their mailing addresses, telephone numbers, and email addresses where a citizen could inquire about conditions at the proposed site.

The EPA and the DNR are mammoth bureaucratic agencies with innumerable branches and personnel. For an average person getting through to the appropriate person is a near impossibility, requiring huge investments in time and patience if at all successful. This is an opportunity for citizens to bypass these formidable hurdles to information by getting the applicant to disclose who it is in their supervisory framework they have dealings with.

Referring to item #2, page 2:

"Stack tests must be performed by an independent third party regularly to ensure compliance with air quality regulations."

Who is this "third party"? What is their contact details where one could send queries to?

The vagueness and absence of specificity in the response beclouds the application and it should be referred back to be remedied for these inadequacies.