## 2021 ACT-018 Modifications to Employee Handbook

The following statements are facts and propositions that provide context and rationale for the Personnel and Finance Committee's policy guidance that follows.

- The County values the collaborative, democratic process of employee groups to represent employee interests and efficiently provide input into creating a respectful, productive work environment.
- 2011 Wis Act 10 effectively eliminated collective bargaining.
- 2012-2013 the County Executive "asked County staff to work with employees to design a new system of labor management relations that maintained employee engagement and involvement in the process, preserved the interactive relationship that the County has enjoyed with its employees, and continued the active involvement of the legislative and executive branches in discussing changes to wages and benefits." (August 15, 2013 memo to the County Board)
- In 2013 the County implemented the systems described above when it adopted the Employee Benefits Handbook (Res. 112, 13-14) and enacted respective changes to the Civil Service Ordinance, Ch. 18 (Ord. Amdt. 14, 13-14).
- In 2016 the County and Dane County Employee Associations jointly requested an advisory opinion from retired Judge Mary Ann Sumi regarding conflicting interpretations of 2011 WI Act 10.
- The County and Employee Associations agreed to follow Judge Sumi's opinion and the 2016 EBH incorporated changes she recommended. Some of the recommended changes to Ch. 18 were agreed upon and adopted in 2018.
- Ch. 18.06 affirms employees right to self-organization and choose representatives to provide input in developing terms and conditions of employment and 18.04(18) defines Employee group's representative. As such, EGRs have bona fide additional representational duties that constitute county-related work.

Personnel & Finance Committee Policy Guidelines with Respect to EBH Modifications and Process:

- The March 29 Bellman Decision of the December 19, 2019 grievance regarding Employee Group Representatives' access to certain County e-mail address lists: While this is will not be before the committee unless the IHO decision is appealed, the committee finds the IHO's Analysis and Conclusions and ultimate Determination instructive for the County's consideration of other possible changes to either the EBH or Administrative Practices Manual (APM) relative to the November 13, 2019 Corporation Counsel Opinion, Legal Standards Governing Uniformity and Paid Time for Employee Work Related Activities. In particular:
  - "Unelected County administrators, and the IHO, are bound to implement the enacted intentions of the elected authors of those ordinances," and therefore, provisions should

- not be made to the APM to circumvent the process described in 18.24 that concludes with the legislative authority of the County Board.
- The IHO observed that "mailings consisted of messages related to employee wages, hours and benefits, and associated EGR activities." Therefore, they were county-related work.
- "Both parties assert that the IHO must respect and apply the clear and unambiguous terms of the governing documents that are pertinent to the issues to be determined. The grievant's principle contention, with which the undersigned agrees, is that such plain language appears in {1} the Bulletin Boards section of the EBH where it provides for 'reasonable use of the county electronic mail system' 'for the purposes of employee information dissemination by an Employee Group's Representative ... ',
- Given the premise that EGR representation is county-related work, it is appropriate that the EBH provides that "reasonable time spent in the conduct of Employee Group representational activity...shall not be deducted from the pay" so long as it does not interfere with such employees' primary work responsibilities. Such a provision does not deny any other interested stakeholder from doing the same.
- Relative to the above situation where a modification was made to the APM rather than
  proposed for the EBH, Wwhen there is ambiguity or question to which document, EBH or APM,
  a revision may be warranted, err toward the EBH and adhere to the process provided in Ch.
  18.24.
- Given that the 2016 EBH and later Ch. 18 amendments implemented the recommendations
  made by Judge Sumi, the recently requested opinion and subsequent proposed changes were
  unwarranted. The need for legal opinions should arise from questions and concerns advanced in
  the meet and confer process. If the parties reach an impasse on such issues, Ch. 18.24 provides
  that an Independent Consultant can be engaged "to assist in recommending revisions to
  Employee Benefit Handbook provisions."
- Among the 2016 EBH changes were changes to implement Judge Sumi's recommendation "that
  the Handbook develop a rule of general application for authorizing the use of paid time and
  resources." Such changes included inclusive language so that all "interested stakeholders" are
  provided use of and access to County resources and therefore establishes uniform provisions.
- Denial of County resources for work-related purposes to EGRs is contrary to Ch. 18.06's promise
  of employees' right to self-organize as it would constitute interference or restraint toward that
  end.
- Likewise, instructions to managers to not meet with or communicate with EGRs who are
  entrusted and authorized to share the concerns and ideas of those they represent would be
  contrary to Ch. 18.06. Additionally, such instructions would be contrary to Ch. 18.03's endeavor
  "to promote full and open communication between the County and its employees." The Dane
  County Board's Personnel & Finance Committee cannot reach legal conclusions regarding issues
  that may come before the committee or full board. The Personnel & Finance Committee fully
  supports the Meet & Confer process and the processes of Chapter 18. Additionally, the

committee fully supports the representatives of the majorities of the Employee Groups in any of their representational efforts.