

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

Welcome!

Thank you for responding to this survey regarding Dane County's recertification of the Farmland Preservation Plan. Your opinions and experiences are a valuable tool that will help shape the future of farming in Dane County.

Dane County is currently in process of recertifying the Farmland Preservation Plan, as the previous iteration was certified in 2012. The Farmland Preservation Plan serves many goals with the most prominent being eligibility of farmland for tax credits under the State Farmland Preservation Plan (Under State Statute Ch. 91.51). This tax credit allows for farmers who meet conservation standards under the Land Conservation Committee to be eligible for a per acre tax credit.

The Farmland Preservation Plan showcases the importance of agriculture to the state and county economy, as well as discusses the threat of fringe development on our farmlands. Ultimately, the Farmland Preservation Plan serves as a way for farmers and their organizations to ensure that their concerns are addressed and heard at the county level, and dictate how the future of agriculture will look across the county.

More information on the current Farmland Preservation Plan and updates for our recertification can be found at the following link:

<https://plandev.countyofdane.com/planning/Farmland-Preservation-Plan>

1. What is your preferred language?

- English
- Spanish
- Hmong
- My preferred language is not listed

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

FPP 2022 Recertification (English)

* 2. In what town, village, or city do you farm?

3. How long have you been farming in Dane County?

- less than 5 years
- 5 to 10 years
- 11 to 20 years
- more than 20 years
- I am not a resident

* 4. How do you access the internet on your farm?

- My farm does not have internet access
- Dial-up
- Cellular plan with my phone (AT&T, Verizon, US Cellular, T-Mobile, etc)
- Hotspot
- My landline or digital subscriber line (DSL) (AT&T, Spectrum/Charter, Frontier, MHTC, TDS, Century Link/Lumen, etc)
- Other (please specify)
- My cable service or cable modem (Spectrum/Charter, AT&T, TDS, etc)
- An antenna/modem or fixed wireless (Bug Tussel, Litewire, Netwurx, UpNetWI, etc.)
- Fiber-optic line (MHTC, AT&T, Earthlink, TDS, FiberNet, etc.)
- Satellite (HughesNet, Starlink, Viasat, etc.)
- Unsure

5. Overall, how satisfied

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

6. If you are **at all dissatisfied** with your internet services, why? (Select all that apply)

- I am not dissatisfied with my internet service
- Too expensive
- Unreliable
- Other (please specify)
- Too slow
- Poor customer service

7. The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for the town, village, or city in which you farm. Please rate each.

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Unsure
My town, village, or city should be a low density community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My town, village, or city should promote more commercial development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
My town, village, or city should promote the preservation of farmland	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Town, village, or city land use policies should be strengthened to better guide growth	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Farming is an important part of my town, village, or city's future	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Agricultural businesses should be promoted	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for any type or amount of development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for a limited amount of development.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential development are a problem in my community.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Land use conflicts between commercial and residential development is a problem in my community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Housing affordability is a growing problem in my community.

It is important to coordinate the town, village, or city future land use plans with those of surrounding towns, cities, and villages.

My town, village, or city should pursue High Speed Internet Service.

8. Do you currently have a nutrient management plan on your property?

- Yes
- No

9. If not, why?

10. Are you currently receiving Farmland Preservation Tax Credits?

- Yes
- No

11. If not receiving tax credits, why not?

12. Which of the following statements best describes how you want the town, village, or city in which you farm to look 20 years from now?

- Mostly agricultural and open lands
- Mostly residential and limited agriculture
- Mix of agricultural, open lands and residential
- Mostly residential and business with limited agriculture
- Mix of agricultural, business and residential

13. Which type of non-farm commercial development would you support in the town, city, or village in which you farm?

	Support	Oppose
Business related to farming	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Large livestock operations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Agriculture related manufacturing (for example, fertilizer or ethanol plants)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mineral extraction (mining and quarries)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Smaller stores and shops servicing mostly local residents (for example, coffee shops, restaurants)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Small home business operations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Commercial development located near state highways	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Commercial development located along county highways	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewable energy generation - solar	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewal energy generation - wind	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. What is your primary agricultural product?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Dairy (Milk and other product from cows) | <input type="radio"/> Other Crops |
| <input type="radio"/> Other animals and animal products | <input type="radio"/> Vegetables, Melons, Potatoes and Sweet Potatoes |
| <input type="radio"/> Cattle or Calves | <input type="radio"/> Nursery, Greenhouse, and Floriculture |
| <input type="radio"/> Grains, Oilseeds, Dry Beans, and Dry Peas | |

15. What other agricultural products do you grow/produce?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dairy (Milk and Other Products from Cows) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Crops |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other animals and animal products | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetables, Melons, Potatoes, and Sweet Potatoes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cattle or Calves | <input type="checkbox"/> Nursery, Greenhouse, and Floriculture |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grains, Oilseeds, Dry Beans, and Dry Peas | |

16. How many acres do you farm?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Less than 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 to 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 to 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 to 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 to 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 500+ Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 to 49 Acres | |

17. Of this land, how many acres do you **own**?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Less than 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 to 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 to 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 to 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 to 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 500+ Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 to 49 Acres | |

18. Of this land, how many acres do you **rent**?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Less than 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 to 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 to 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 to 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 to 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 500+ Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 to 49 Acres | |

19. What do you believe is the viability of agricultural business in the town, village, or city in which you farm over the next 20 years?

- Strong
- Weak
- I don't know

20. What agricultural activities do you believe are most viable?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Community supported agriculture (CSA)/local food supplier/value added products | <input type="radio"/> Cash crops |
| <input type="radio"/> Dairy | <input type="radio"/> Alternative fuels |
| <input type="radio"/> Meat or egg production | <input type="radio"/> Other |

21. Should the town, village, or city in which I farm adopt goals to support:

	yes	no	unsure
Affordable housing opportunities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Senior housing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Commercial or business development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Value added agricultural production (meat processing, vegetable processing and storage, small scale local food production)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Permanent agricultural land preservation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Permanent natural resource preservation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dense residential housing and smaller lots when agricultural land is preserved	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community financing and acquisition of development rights when agricultural land is preserved	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Community financing of broadband improvement and expansion	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Productive farmland and agricultural businesses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protection of agricultural uses from incompatible uses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Preservation of town, village, or city natural resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Residential housing development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Current density (rural, etc) of town, village, or city	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

22. How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

- Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Unsure

23. Is there anything else you'd like to share with Dane County Planning Division regarding the recertification of the Farmland Preservation Plan?

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

FPP 2022 Recertification (Spanish)

* 24. ¿En qué pueblo, aldea o ciudad realiza sus tareas agrícolas o ganaderas?

25. ¿Cuánto tiempo lleva realizando sus tareas agrícolas o ganaderas en el condado de Dane?

- menos de 5 años
- 5 a 10 años
- 11 a 20 años
- más de 20 años
- No vivo en el condado de Dane

* 26. ¿Cómo accede a Internet en su granja?

- Mi granja no tiene acceso a Internet
- Acceso telefónico
- Plan de datos con mi teléfono celular (AT&T, Verizon, US Cellular, T-Mobile, etc.)
- Hotspot (Aparato portátil para conectarse a Internet)
- Mi línea terrestre o digital (DSL) (AT&T, Spectrum/Charter, Frontier, MHTC, TDS, Century Link / Lumen, etc.)
- Otros (especifique)
- Mi servicio de cable o módem por cable (Spectrum/Charter, AT&T, TDS, etc.)
- Una antena o módem, o inalámbrico fijo (Bug Tussel, Litewire, Netwurx, UpNetWI, etc.)
- Línea de fibra óptica (MHTC, AT&T, Earthlink, TDS, FiberNet, etc.)
- Satélite (HughesNet, Starlink, Viasat, etc.)
- No estoy seguro

27. En general, ¿Qué tan satisfecho está con el servicio?

- Muy satisfecho
- satisfecho
- algo satisfecho
- Ni satisfecho ni insatisfecho
- Algo insatisfecho
- Insatisfecho
- Muy insatisfecho

28. 5. Si usted está **de alguna manera insatisfecho** con sus servicios de Internet, ¿por qué?

(Seleccione todo lo que corresponda)

- No estoy insatisfecho con mi servicio de Internet Demasiado lento
- Demasiado caro Mal servicio al cliente
- Poco fiable
- Otros (especifique)

29. A continuación, hay varias afirmaciones que presentan opciones sobre las posibilidades futuras para el pueblo, aldea o ciudad en la que usted realiza sus tareas agrícolas o ganaderas. Por favor, califique cada una.

	Totalmente de acuerdo	Un poco de acuerdo	Un poco de desacuerdo	Totalmente en desacuerdo	No estoy seguro
Mi pueblo, aldea o ciudad debe ser una comunidad de baja densidad de población	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mi pueblo, aldea o ciudad debería promover un mayor desarrollo comercial	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mi pueblo, aldea o ciudad debería promover la preservación de las tierras agropecuarias	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Las políticas de uso de la tierra de pueblos, aldeas o ciudades deben fortalecerse para guiar mejor el crecimiento	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La mayoría de las nuevas construcciones deberían ocurrir adyacentes a áreas que ya están construidas.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La agricultura y ganadería es una parte importante del futuro de mi pueblo, aldea o ciudad	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Deberían promoverse las empresas agropecuarias	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Los granjeros y otros

propietarios rurales deberían poder vender sus tierras para cualquier tipo o volumen de desarrollo

Los granjeros y otros propietarios rurales deberían poder vender sus tierras para un volumen limitado de desarrollo.

Los conflictos por el uso de la tierra entre la agricultura y el desarrollo residencial son un problema en mi comunidad.

Los conflictos por el uso de la tierra entre el desarrollo comercial y residencial son un problema en mi comunidad

La asequibilidad de la vivienda es un problema creciente en mi comunidad.

Es importante coordinar los planes futuros de uso de la tierra del pueblo, aldea o ciudad con los de los pueblos, aldeas o ciudades circundantes

Mi pueblo, aldea o ciudad debería tratar de conseguir un servicio de Internet de alta velocidad.

30. 5. ¿Tiene actualmente un plan de manejo de nutrientes en su propiedad?

- Sí
- No

31. 5. En caso negativo, ¿por qué?

32. 5. ¿Está recibiendo actualmente Créditos Fiscales para la Preservación de Tierras Agropecuarias?

- Sí
- No

33. Si no recibe créditos fiscales, ¿por qué no?

34. ¿Cuál de las siguientes afirmaciones describe mejor cómo le gustaría que fuera el pueblo, aldea o ciudad en la que realiza sus tareas agrícolas o ganaderas dentro de 20 años?

- En su mayoría tierras agrícolas y sin uso
- Mezcla de tierras agrícolas, sin uso y residenciales
- Mezcla de agrícolas, comerciales y residenciales
- Principalmente residenciales y agricultura limitada
- Principalmente residenciales y comerciales con agricultura limitada

35. ¿Qué tipo de desarrollo comercial no agrícola apoyaría en el pueblo, aldea o ciudad en el que realiza sus tareas agrícolas o ganaderas?

	A favor	En contra
Negocios relacionados con la agricultura o ganadería	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Grandes explotaciones ganaderas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fábricas relacionadas con la agricultura (por ejemplo, plantas de fertilizantes o etanol)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Extracción de minerales (minería y canteras)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tiendas pequeñas y negocios que den servicio principalmente a residentes locales (por ejemplo, cafeterías, restaurantes)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Operaciones de pequeñas empresas domésticas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Desarrollo comercial ubicado cerca de las autopistas estatales	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Desarrollo comercial ubicado a lo largo de las autopistas del condado	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Generación de energía renovable - solar	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Generación de energía renovable - eólica	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

36. ¿Cuál es su producto agrícola principal?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Lácteos (leche y otros productos de vacas) | <input type="radio"/> Otros cultivos |
| <input type="radio"/> Otros animales y productos de origen animal | <input type="radio"/> Verduras, melones y sandías, patatas y camotes o batatas |
| <input type="radio"/> Ganado o terneros | <input type="radio"/> Viveros, invernaderos y floricultura |
| <input type="radio"/> Granos, semillas oleaginosas, frijoles secos o legumbres y guisantes secos | |

37. ¿Qué otros productos agrícolas cultivan / producen?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lácteos (Leche y otros productos de vacas | <input type="checkbox"/> Otros cultivos |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Otros animales y productos de origen animal | <input type="checkbox"/> Verduras, melones y sandías, patatas y camotes o batatas |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ganado o terneros | <input type="checkbox"/> Viveros, invernaderos y floricultura |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Granos, semillas oleaginosas, frijoles secos o legumbres y guisantes secos | |

38. ¿Cuántos acres utiliza para actividades agrícolas o ganaderas?

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Menos de 1 acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 a 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 a 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 a 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 a 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> Más de 500 acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 a 49 Acres | |

39. De este terreno, ¿cuántos acres tiene **en propiedad**?

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Menos de 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 a 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 a 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 a 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 a 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> Más de 500 acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 a 49 Acres | |

40. De este terreno, ¿cuántos acres arrienda?

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Menos de 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 a 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 a 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 a 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 a 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> Más de 500 acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 a 49 Acres | |

41. ¿Cuál cree que es la viabilidad durante los próximos 20 años de los negocios agrícolas o ganaderos en el pueblo, aldea o ciudad en la que cultiva o tiene su ganado?

- Grande
- Pequeña
- No lo sé

42. ¿Qué actividades agrícolas y ganaderas cree que son más viables?

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Agricultura apoyada por la comunidad (CSA) / proveedor local de alimentos / productos de valor agregado | <input type="radio"/> Cultivos comerciales |
| <input type="radio"/> Lácteos | <input type="radio"/> Combustibles alternativos |
| <input type="radio"/> Producción de carne o huevos | <input type="radio"/> Otros |

43. En caso de que el pueblo, aldea o ciudad en la que realizo mis tareas agrícolas o ganaderas adopte objetivos para apoyar:

	Sí	No	No estoy seguro
Oportunidades de vivienda asequible	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Vivienda para personas mayores	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Desarrollo comercial o de negocios	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Producción agrícola de valor añadido (procesamiento de carne, procesamiento y almacenamiento de vegetales, producción local de alimentos a pequeña escala)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conservación permanente de tierras agrícolas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Conservación permanente de recursos naturales	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Viviendas residenciales de alta densidad y terrenos más pequeños cuando se conservan tierras agrícolas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Financiación y adquisición comunitaria de derechos de desarrollo cuando se preserva la tierra agrícola	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Financiación comunitaria de la mejora y expansión de la banda ancha de Internet	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tierras de cultivo y ganadería y empresas agrícolas productivas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protección de los usos agrícolas frente a usos incompatibles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Preservación de los recursos del pueblo, aldea o ciudad	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Desarrollo de viviendas residenciales	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Densidad actual			

(rural, etc.) del
pueblo, aldea o
ciudad



44. ¿Cómo calificaría los esfuerzos del Condado para dirigir la ubicación dónde se produce la construcción?

- Demasiada planificación, políticas y ordenanzas que dirigen el desarrollo
- Cantidad adecuada de planificación, políticas y ordenanzas que dirigen el desarrollo
- Falta de planificación, políticas y ordenanzas suficientes para dirigir el desarrollo
- No estoy seguro

45. ¿Hay algo más que le gustaría compartir con la División de Planificación del Condado de Dane con respecto a la recertificación del Plan de Preservación de Tierras Agrícolas?

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

FPP 2022 Recertification (Hmong)

* 46. Nyob rau lub zos, zej zog, los yog nroog twg koj ua liaj ua teb?

47. Koj twb ua liaj teb nyob hauv nroog Dane County ntev li cas lawm?

- tsawg tshaj 5 xyoos
- 5 txog 10 xyoos
- 11 txog 20 xyoos
- ntau tshaj 20 xyoos
- Kuv tsis yog neeg nyob

* 48. Koj puas kev nkag rau nrub ntug (internet) rau ntawm koj daim teb?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Kuv thaj teb tsis muaj (internet) | <input type="radio"/> Tsis paub tseeb |
| <input type="radio"/> Ntaus xov tooj tawm (Dial-up) | <input type="radio"/> Kuv qhov (cable pab cuam) los sis (cable modem (Spectrum/Charter, AT&T, TDS, lwm yam) |
| <input type="radio"/> Muaj qhov plan nrog rau kev xov tooj ntawm tes (AT&T, Verizon, US Cellular, T-Mobile, lwy yam ntxiv) | <input type="radio"/> Ib qhov (antenna/modem los sis fixed wireless (Bug Tussel, Litewire, Netwurx, UpNetWI, lwm yam.) |
| <input type="radio"/> Muaj lub txais (Hotspot) | <input type="radio"/> Qhov (Fiber-optic) li (MHTC, AT&T, Earthlink, TDS, FiberNet, lwm yam.) |
| <input type="radio"/> Kuv muaj (landline los sis digital subscribe) li (DSL) (AT&T, Spectrum/Charter, Frontier, MHTC, TDS, Century Link/Lumen, lwm yam) | <input type="radio"/> Lub Satellite (HughesNet, Starlink, Viasat, etc.) |
| <input type="radio"/> Lwm yam (thov qhia meej tseeb) | |

49. Tag nrho, txaus siab li cas:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Txaus siab heev | <input type="radio"/> Tsis tsua txaus siab |
| <input type="radio"/> Txaus siab | <input type="radio"/> Tsis txaus siab |
| <input type="radio"/> Txaus siab tsem tsawv | <input type="radio"/> Yeej tsis txaus siab kiag li |
| <input type="radio"/> Puav leej txaus los tsis txaus siab | |

50. 5. Yog koj yeej **tag nrho tsis txaus siab** nrog rau koj qhov kev pab cuam (internet), Vim li cas? (Xaiv tag nrho qhov yog rau koj)

- Kuv tsis txaus rau nrog kev sis internet
- Kim heev li
- Tsis ntseeg tau
- Lwm yam (thov qhia kom meej tseeb)
- Qeev heev li
- Peb pab tsis zoo

51. Nram qab no yog ob peb ntsiab lus ua qhia rau kev xaiv txog rau yav peb suab rau lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog uas koj ua liaj teb. Thov soj ntsuam kos rau qhov hauv qab no.

	Tsis tshua pom				
	Pom zoo heev	Pom zoo tsawv	zoo	Tsis pom kiag li	Tsis Paub
Kuv lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog yuav tsum yog lub qis (density) zej zog	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kuv lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog yuav tsum txhawb rau kev lag luam ntau dua	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kuv lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog txhawb kev ceev tseg av	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Zos, nej zog, los sis zej zog av siv cov kev li kev cai yuav tsum ua kom ruaj khov coj rau kev loj hlob	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Qhov ntawm kev tsim ua dua tshiab yuav tshwm sim ib sab thaj chaws uas twb tsim muaj lawm	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kev ua liaj teb yog qhov tseem ceeb heev rau kuv lub lub zos, zej zog, los sis zej zog rau yav pem suab	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kev lag luam ua liaj teb yuav tau txhawb nqa	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cov neeg ua liaj teb thiab lwm cov neeg muaj liaj teb yuav tsum muag tau lawv cov av rau tus nqi twg los tau los sis tug nqi tsim tshiab no	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cov neeg ua liaj teb					

thiab lwm cov neeg
muaj liaj teb yuav
tsum muag tau lawv
av rau tus nqi txwv
thiab tshiab

Kev siv av muaj teeb
meem nrog rau kev
ua liaj teb thiab kev
ua vaj tse tsim nyob
yog ib qhov teeb
meem rau kuv lub
zej zog

Kev siv av muaj teeb
meem cov lag luam
thiab kev vaj tsev
tsim nyob yogi b
qhov teeb meem rau
kuv lub zej zog

Tsev kom pheed yig
yog lb qhov teeb
meem rau kuv lub
zej zog.

Yog ib qhov tseem
ceeb heev los tswj
rau lub zos, zej zog,
los yog nroog kev
yav pem suav rau ib
cheeb tsam cov zos,
nroog, thiab zej zog.

Kuv lub zos, zej zog,
los sis nroog yuav
tsusm nrhiav kev
siab (high speed
Internet)

52. Koj puas muaj ib tug neeg tuaj saib xyuas kev chiv rau koj daim av?

- Muaj
 Tsis muaj

53. Yog tsis muaj, vim li cas?

54. Koj puas tau txais kev se (Farmland Preservation Tax Credits)?

- Tau
 Tsis tau

55. Yog tsis tau txais se (tax credits), cas ho tsis tau no?

56. Qhov nram qab no cov ntsiab lus qhia zoo tshaj rau koj lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog uas koj ua liaj teb kom zoo li cas rau 20 xyoos los rau tag sim no?

- Feem ntau av ua liab teb thiab av seem ntau
- Feem ntau av ua vaj tsev thiab av ua teb tsawg
- Tov ua liaj teb, av seem, thiab ua vaj tsev
- Feem ntau tsev nyob thiab av ua teb tsawg
- Tov ua liaj teb, lag luam, thiab vaj tsev

57. Cov kev ua liaj teb (tsis yog commercial) koj yuav txhawb nqa nyob rau koj lub zos, nroog, los sis zej zog ua koj liaj teb ntawd?

	Txhawb Nqa	Tsis Pom Zoo
Lag luam uas ze rau kev ua liaj teb	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cov lag luam tu cov tshiaj loj heev	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lag luam liaj teb ze chaw ua koom (piv txwv, chiv los sis ethanol plants)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tshau tooj hlau thiab nyiaj kub (ua mining thiab quarries)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Cov khwv me thiab lab muaj khoom noj muag rau zej zog (piv txwv, lab coffee, lab ua khoom noj)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kev ua lag luam me nyob rau hauv vaj hauv tsev	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tsim tsa cov lag luam loj nyob ze rau kev loj	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tsim tsa cov lag luam (commercial) nyob rau kev (County highways)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewable energy generation - hhub	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewal energy generation - cua	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

58. Koj qhov khoom liaj teb xub xub muag yog dab tsi?

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Khoom ua mis (Mis thiab lwm yam khoom los ntawm nyuj) | <input type="radio"/> Lwm Yam Qoob loos |
| <input type="radio"/> Lwm yam tsiaj thiab koom tsiaj | <input type="radio"/> Zaub, Dib Pag, Qos Yaj Lwm, thiab Qos Liab |
| <input type="radio"/> Nyuj los sis cov me nyuam nyuj | <input type="radio"/> Chaw Zov Me Nyuam, Tsev Vov Ntaub Yas (Greenhouse), thaib Tsev Paj (Floriculture) |
| <input type="radio"/> Noob Qoob, Noob Roj, Taum Qhuav, thiab Taum Pauv Qhuav | |

59. Lwm yam khoom liaj teb dab tsi koj cog/ua muag?

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Khoom ua Mis (Mis thiab lwm yam koom los ntawm nyuj) | <input type="checkbox"/> Lwm Yam Qoob Loos |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lwm yam tsiaj thiab koom tsiaj | <input type="checkbox"/> Zaub, Dib Pag, Qos Yaj Lwm, thiab Qos Liab |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nyuj thiab Me Nyuam Nyuj | <input type="checkbox"/> Chaw Zov Me Nyuam, Tsev Vov Ntaub Yas (Greenhouse), thaib Tsev Paj (Floriculture) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Noob Qoob, Noob Roj, Taum Qhuav, thiab Taum Pauv Qhuav | |

60. Muaj tsawg (acres) koj ua liaj teb?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Tsawg Tshaj 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 mus txog 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 mus txog 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 mus txog 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 mus txog 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 500 tshaj Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 mus txog 49 Acres | |

61. 5. Nyob rau daim teb no, muaj tsawg (acres) yog koj **ntiag tug**?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Tsawg tshaj 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 mus txog 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 mus txog 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 mus txog 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 mus txog 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> Tshaj 500 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 mus txog 49 Acres | |

62. Nyob rau daim teb no, muaj tsawg (acres) yog koj xauj?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Tsawg tshaj 1 Acre | <input type="radio"/> 50 mus txog 179 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 1 mus txog 16 Acres | <input type="radio"/> 180 mus txog 499 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 17 mus txog 35 Acres | <input type="radio"/> Tshaj 500 Acres |
| <input type="radio"/> 36 mus txog 49 Acres | |

63. Koj ntseeg tau li cas txog txoj kev ua lag luam liaj teb nyob rau hauv zos, zej zog, los sis nroog rau koj kev ua liaj teb nyob rau 20 xyoos tuaj tom ntej no?

- Khov
- Tsis Khov
- Kuv tsis paub

64. Qhov kev ua liaj teb twg koj ntseeg yuav yog ib qhov muaj nqis heev?

- Zej zog kev ua liaj teb(CSA)/local food supplier/lwm yam ntxiv rau khoom noj
- Khoom ua mis
- Khoom ua nqaij los sis ua qe
- Cov qoob khwv yuav nyiaj ntsuab
- Lwm yam kev ua roj
- Lwm yam

65. Puas xav kom lub zos, zej zog, los nroog uas kuv nyob ua liaj teb hloov los txhawb rau cov hom phiaj no:

	yog	tsis yog	tsis paub
Hwj tsav kom tau vaj tsev pheed yig	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tsev rau cov laus nyob	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tsim tshiab rau cov lag luam loj thiab me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ntxiv nuj nqis (value) rau ua liaj teb (kev ua nqaij, kev tu zaub thiab tso zaub cia, ua tej yam zaub mov me)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tswj kom khov rau cov av ua liaj tseg cia	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tswj kom khov rau av hav dej (natural resource)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ua vaj tsev kom sib ti thiab cov ua tsev kom me thaum av ua liaj tej ceev tseg cia	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Txais nyiaj rau zej zog thiab muab kev yog tsim vaj tsev tshiab thaum cov av twb ceev tau tseg cia lawm	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Txais nyiaj rau zej zog rau nruab ntug txoj kev ncau tuaj	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lag luam av ua teb thiab lag luam ua liaj ua teb	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tiv thaiv kev ua liaj teb los ntawm txoj kev tsis zoo siv	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ceev tseg ntawm lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog rau kev haub cua dej nag	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tsim tsav kom tau vaj tsev tshiab nyob	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Kev ti li cas (zos me, Etc.) ntawm lub zos, zej zog, los sis nroog	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

66. Koj yuav ntsuam xyuas kev tsim kho lub Nroog (County) uas pab coj rau txoj kev loj hlob tshaj?

- Kev npaj tswv yim ntau heev, cov kev cai thiab kev taug tsim ntau nrug heev
- Tab tom yog xwb txog npaj tswv yim, cov cai thiab kev taug muaj no
- Tsis txaus txog kev npaj tswv yim, cov cai thiab kev taug cai no.
- Tsis paub

67. Puas muaj dab tsi thiab uas koj xav qhia rau lub nroog (Dane County Planning Division) txog ntawm txoj rov pom zoo dua ntawm kev ceev tseg ntawm lub hom phiaj av liaj teb (Farmland Preservation Plan)?

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

68. At this time the survey is only available in English. Please provide your email address or phone number below so we can send you an email or text when the survey in the language selected is available. Please see the website for more details (translations available by scrolling to bottom of page).

[Click here to access site.](#)

En este momento, la encuesta solo está disponible en inglés. Proporcione su dirección de correo electrónico o número de teléfono a continuación para que podamos enviarle un correo electrónico o un mensaje de texto cuando la encuesta en el idioma seleccionado esté disponible. [Consulte el sitio web para obtener más detalles](#) (traducciones disponibles desplazándose hasta la parte inferior de la página).

Lub sijhawm no daim ntawv ntsuam xyuas tsuas yog muaj ua lus Askiv xwb. Thov muab koj li email chaw nyob lossis tus lej xov tooj hauv qab no kom peb tuaj yeem xa email lossis ntawv rau koj thaum daim ntawv ntsuam xyuas hauv hom lus xaiv muaj. [Thov mus saib lub vev xaib kom paub meej ntxiv](#) (kev txhais lus muaj los ntawm scrolling rau hauv qab ntawm nplooj ntawv).

Q2 In what town, village, or city do you farm?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Cottage Grove	8/8/2022 4:54 PM
2	village of windsor	7/19/2022 1:35 PM
3	Village of Windsor	6/16/2022 9:47 AM
4	Roxbury	6/13/2022 12:48 PM
5	York township	6/8/2022 11:09 AM
6	Cottage Grove Township	6/4/2022 12:57 PM
7	TOWN OF CROSS PLAINS	6/4/2022 10:46 AM
8	Albion	6/3/2022 2:28 PM
9	Rutland	6/3/2022 2:26 PM
10	Springfield	6/3/2022 2:22 PM
11	Black Earth	6/3/2022 2:19 PM
12	Mazomanie	6/3/2022 2:16 PM
13	Marshall	6/3/2022 2:13 PM
14	Vienna, Arlington, Leeds	6/3/2022 2:10 PM
15	Westport	6/3/2022 10:28 AM
16	Westport	6/3/2022 10:18 AM
17	Deerfield	6/3/2022 10:13 AM
18	Berry	6/3/2022 10:10 AM
19	Perry	6/3/2022 10:01 AM
20	Mt. Horeb	6/3/2022 9:55 AM
21	Unknown (mailed in)	6/3/2022 9:49 AM
22	Township of Blue Mounds	6/2/2022 11:59 PM
23	Westport	5/22/2022 9:47 AM
24	Marshall	5/20/2022 12:49 PM
25	Primrose	5/18/2022 11:24 AM
26	Town of Montrose	5/18/2022 9:03 AM
27	Perry	5/18/2022 8:53 AM
28	Town of Dane and Lodi	5/17/2022 10:41 AM
29	Berry	5/6/2022 6:46 PM
30	Blue Mounds	5/4/2022 11:24 AM
31	Dane	5/3/2022 10:12 AM
32	montrose	5/2/2022 6:35 PM
33	Dunkirk	5/1/2022 9:45 AM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

34	Town of Cross Plains	4/30/2022 6:51 AM
35	Town of Oregon	4/29/2022 10:04 AM
36	Marshall	4/29/2022 8:52 AM
37	town of Montrose	4/27/2022 5:10 PM
38	Town of Pleasant Springs	4/26/2022 11:18 AM
39	verona	4/25/2022 2:11 PM
40	township of blue mounds	4/25/2022 1:49 PM
41	Albion	4/25/2022 10:45 AM
42	Town of Sun Prarie and Town of Bristol	4/24/2022 7:39 PM
43	Vienna	4/22/2022 5:18 PM
44	Township of Vienna	4/22/2022 5:18 PM
45	Christiana and Deerfield	4/22/2022 4:37 PM
46	Town of Sun Prairie	4/22/2022 2:59 PM
47	Town of Blue Mounds	4/22/2022 12:01 PM
48	Town of Pleasant Springs	4/22/2022 11:04 AM
49	Dane	4/21/2022 3:18 PM
50	Cross Plain Township	4/21/2022 9:45 AM
51	Dunkirk and Rutland	4/21/2022 7:33 AM
52	Cross Plains Township	4/20/2022 10:01 AM
53	Sun Prarie township	4/19/2022 6:12 PM
54	Town of Medina	4/19/2022 2:29 PM
55	Town of Cross Plains	4/19/2022 1:59 PM
56	montrose and primrose townships	4/19/2022 12:52 PM
57	Town of Primrose	4/19/2022 12:06 PM
58	TOWNSHIP OF PLEASANT SPRINGS	4/19/2022 10:38 AM
59	Hampden	4/19/2022 10:19 AM
60	berry	4/19/2022 8:25 AM
61	Town of Medina	4/18/2022 10:02 PM
62	Perry Township	4/18/2022 5:13 PM
63	York	4/18/2022 1:29 PM
64	Christiana and Albion Townships	4/18/2022 12:35 PM
65	Verona	4/18/2022 12:00 PM
66	Town of Springfield	4/17/2022 10:26 AM
67	York	4/17/2022 6:56 AM
68	Waunakee/Westport	4/16/2022 4:15 PM
69	roxbury	4/16/2022 1:46 PM
70	Berry	4/16/2022 1:09 PM
71	Dane and Columbia counties	4/16/2022 12:46 PM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

72	york	4/16/2022 8:39 AM
73	town of dane	4/16/2022 7:46 AM
74	Primrose	4/15/2022 5:30 PM
75	Sun Prairie	4/15/2022 3:37 PM
76	Pleasant Springs	4/15/2022 3:34 PM
77	Town of Montrose	4/15/2022 2:34 PM
78	Montrose Township	4/15/2022 10:52 AM
79	DEFOREST	4/15/2022 9:07 AM
80	Roxbury	4/14/2022 6:09 PM
81	Dunn	4/14/2022 5:36 PM
82	Town of Blue Mounds	4/14/2022 3:59 PM
83	Town of Dane	4/14/2022 3:27 PM
84	Town of Perry	4/14/2022 3:10 PM
85	Town of Cross Plains	4/14/2022 2:05 PM
86	Fitchburg	4/14/2022 1:06 PM
87	City of Fitchburg	4/14/2022 11:42 AM
88	Town of Springfield	4/14/2022 11:26 AM
89	Town of Pleasant Springs	4/14/2022 10:24 AM
90	vienna	4/14/2022 9:22 AM
91	Primrose township	4/14/2022 9:03 AM
92	York	4/14/2022 8:02 AM
93	Town of Montrose	4/13/2022 9:32 PM
94	DEFOREST	4/13/2022 7:24 PM
95	Christiana	4/13/2022 5:21 PM
96	Town of Sun Prairie	4/13/2022 4:38 PM
97	Town of Berry	4/13/2022 4:15 PM
98	Town of Dane	4/13/2022 3:21 PM
99	Christiana, Town of Deefield, Jefferson County	4/13/2022 3:01 PM
100	edgerton	4/13/2022 1:58 PM
101	Town of Cross Plains	4/13/2022 12:24 PM
102	Town of Vienna	4/13/2022 12:00 PM
103	Town of Berry, Town of Mazomanie	4/13/2022 10:50 AM
104	Town of York	4/13/2022 10:17 AM
105	Blue Mounds	4/12/2022 10:53 PM
106	Perry	4/12/2022 5:50 PM
107	Marshall	4/12/2022 3:42 PM
108	Berry	4/12/2022 12:22 PM
109	Christiana	4/12/2022 11:35 AM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

110	Sun Prairie	4/12/2022 9:41 AM
111	Christiana	4/12/2022 8:55 AM
112	town of vienna	4/12/2022 8:52 AM
113	Windsor	4/12/2022 8:34 AM
114	Roxbury	4/12/2022 7:58 AM
115	vienna	4/12/2022 3:05 AM
116	Vienna	4/11/2022 1:02 PM
117	deerfield	4/11/2022 12:30 PM
118	Christiana	4/11/2022 10:02 AM
119	Leeds township	4/10/2022 9:08 PM
120	Windsor	4/10/2022 7:53 PM
121	town of Vienna	4/10/2022 7:26 PM
122	Cross Plains	4/10/2022 4:05 PM
123	Sun Prairie	4/10/2022 1:43 PM
124	Deerfield	4/10/2022 1:15 PM
125	Mount Horeb	4/10/2022 12:27 PM
126	Town of Dane	4/10/2022 12:25 PM
127	Dunkirk	4/10/2022 12:15 PM
128	town of roxbury	4/10/2022 12:13 PM
129	PRIMROSE TOWNSHIP	4/10/2022 11:51 AM
130	Dunkirk	4/10/2022 10:24 AM
131	Town of Vienna	4/10/2022 10:07 AM
132	Oregon	4/9/2022 8:46 PM
133	Roxbury	4/9/2022 4:15 PM
134	Berry	4/9/2022 2:46 PM
135	Roxbury	4/9/2022 2:35 PM
136	Dane	4/9/2022 1:34 PM
137	York township	4/9/2022 1:30 PM
138	medina	4/9/2022 11:53 AM

Q3 How long have you been farming in Dane County?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
less than 5 years	1.45%	2
5 to 10 years	2.17%	3
11 to 20 years	4.35%	6
more than 20 years	92.03%	127
I am not a resident	0.00%	0
TOTAL		138

Q4 How do you access the internet on your farm?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
My farm does not have internet access	11.59%	16
Dial-up	2.17%	3
Cellular plan with my phone (AT&T, Verizon, US Cellular, T-Mobile, etc)	13.04%	18
Hotspot	5.07%	7
My landline or digital subscriber line (DSL) (AT&T, Spectrum/Charter, Frontier, MHTC, TDS, Century Link/Lumen, etc)	22.46%	31
My cable service or cable modem (Spectrum/Charter, AT&T, TDS, etc)	12.32%	17
An antenna/modem or fixed wireless (Bug Tussel, Litewire, Netwurx, UpNetWI, etc.)	13.77%	19
Fiber-optic line (MHTC, AT&T, Earthlink, TDS, FiberNet, etc.)	8.70%	12
Satellite (HughesNet, Starlink, Viasat, etc.)	5.80%	8
Unsure	0.72%	1
Other (please specify)	4.35%	6
TOTAL		138

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I don't use a computer // wife has limited ability	6/3/2022 10:28 AM
2	Have access but do not own a computer	6/3/2022 10:01 AM
3	Both Cellular plan and cable service	6/3/2022 9:49 AM
4	Upnet WI line of site dish	4/22/2022 5:18 PM
5	Upnet Wisconsin line of site dish	4/22/2022 5:18 PM
6	steal wi fi from town	4/10/2022 7:26 PM

Q5 Overall, how satisfied

Answered: 128 Skipped: 30

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfied	17.97%	23
Satisfied	25.00%	32
Somewhat satisfied	25.78%	33
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	8.59%	11
Somewhat dissatisfied	11.72%	15
Dissatisfied	3.91%	5
Very dissatisfied	7.03%	9
TOTAL		128

Q6 If you are at all dissatisfied with your internet services, why? (Select all that apply)

Answered: 104 Skipped: 54

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I am not dissatisfied with my internet service	29.81%	31
Too expensive	27.88%	29
Unreliable	25.96%	27
Too slow	37.50%	39
Poor customer service	4.81%	5
Other (please specify)	17.31%	18
Total Respondents: 104		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Too early to tell	6/4/2022 12:57 PM
2	Do not know how to use a computer	6/3/2022 10:28 AM
3	Don't have a need for a computer	6/3/2022 10:01 AM
4	Don't use it	5/18/2022 11:24 AM
5	Only cellular available.	4/22/2022 4:37 PM
6	13 miles from state capitol should have better internet.	4/22/2022 2:59 PM
7	Internet available, choose not to subscribe	4/21/2022 7:33 AM
8	NO options /choice for internet - dead zones with Us cellular phone service	4/18/2022 10:02 PM
9	We also have a connection at the adjoining house. and connection there is spotty.	4/18/2022 12:35 PM
10	Too expensive because internet is slow.	4/16/2022 4:15 PM
11	Don't have interent	4/16/2022 12:46 PM
12	No competition for fiber to drive down prices in my area!	4/14/2022 5:36 PM
13	Frontier couldn't get to their place.	4/13/2022 12:00 PM
14	One of reasons they moved to town. Could get wifi from town hall. Fiber optic may never come to his area.	4/13/2022 10:50 AM
15	Also slow.	4/13/2022 10:17 AM
16	not unlimited	4/12/2022 3:05 AM
17	Verona is now offered 1 gig internet and we are still at 4MB for the last 10 years. There should be a law to keep all of Dane county in parity with the provider's best service. Maybe the worst should be 1 tenth of the best the provider has. Today, we farmers just suffer and lose out on many opportunities. Also, I pay Dane County tax rate, but get none of the services. Please do something for us.	4/10/2022 12:27 PM
18	Small screen	4/9/2022 1:30 PM

Q7 The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for the town, village, or city in which you farm. Please rate each.

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

	STRONGLY AGREE	SOMEWHAT AGREE	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	UNSURE	TOTAL
low density community	44.20% 61	33.33% 46	4.35% 6	1.45% 2	16.67% 23	138
promote more commercial development	6.52% 9	21.74% 30	20.29% 28	45.65% 63	5.80% 8	138
promote the preservation of farmland	73.91% 102	19.57% 27	5.07% 7	0.72% 1	0.72% 1	138
land use policies should be strengthened	38.69% 53	30.66% 42	16.06% 22	6.57% 9	8.03% 11	137
development should occur adjacent to other development	55.15% 75	27.94% 38	7.35% 10	2.94% 4	6.62% 9	136
Farming is an important part of future	78.83% 108	15.33% 21	2.92% 4	1.46% 2	1.46% 2	137
Agricultural businesses should be promoted	67.88% 93	21.90% 30	4.38% 6	2.92% 4	2.92% 4	137
sell land for any type or amount of development	18.12% 25	19.57% 27	19.57% 27	36.23% 50	6.52% 9	138
sell land for a limited amount of development.	16.67% 23	34.78% 48	18.84% 26	21.74% 30	7.97% 11	138
Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential	21.01% 29	31.88% 44	18.12% 25	14.49% 20	14.49% 20	138
Land use conflicts between commercial and residential	13.77% 19	18.12% 25	25.36% 35	19.57% 27	23.19% 32	138
Housing affordability is a growing problem	19.71% 27	33.58% 46	16.79% 23	11.68% 16	18.25% 25	137
coordinate with surrounding communities	34.33% 46	47.01% 63	5.97% 8	5.22% 7	7.46% 10	134
pursue High Speed Internet Service.	61.94% 83	23.88% 32	5.97% 8	3.73% 5	4.48% 6	134

Q8 Do you currently have a nutrient management plan on your property?

Answered: 136 Skipped: 22

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	91.18%	124
No	8.82%	12
TOTAL	136	

Q9 If not, why?

Answered: 21 Skipped: 137

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Unsure if on nutrient management plan	6/3/2022 2:16 PM
2	Use renters management plan	6/3/2022 10:28 AM
3	Yes, but it is too expensive	6/3/2022 10:10 AM
4	Most of my land is enrolled in CREP or CRP, Riparian buffer, native prairie grasses or simply food plots for deer, turkey, pheasants	6/3/2022 10:01 AM
5	I don't, but my neighbor who rents my land, does.	4/30/2022 6:54 AM
6	N/A	4/26/2022 11:18 AM
7	Dropped out of farmland preservation. Already properly use nitrogen crediting and soil testing	4/19/2022 6:13 PM
8	No longer has cattle, land in CRP	4/19/2022 12:06 PM
9	Only own 3.5 acres, not currently farming	4/16/2022 4:17 PM
10	I just signed a new 10-year CRP contract and have not developed a plan for the property yet.	4/14/2022 5:37 PM
11	In CRP program, so nutrient management plan is not required. No cropping occurring.	4/14/2022 3:59 PM
12	Rented land in past. Going back to own production. Not sure what renter had. Will do no-till and other practices to limit soil loss.	4/14/2022 11:42 AM
13	Very small-scale operation with less than 30 sheep and goats.	4/13/2022 4:38 PM
14	Not sure if new tenant has plan or not. Previous tenant had one for dairy operation.	4/13/2022 10:50 AM
15	I am a very small farm. I plant per local conservation plan recommendations.	4/12/2022 10:54 PM
16	Na	4/12/2022 8:55 AM
17	na	4/10/2022 1:15 PM
18	way to expensive to get one for the payback from land preservation	4/10/2022 12:13 PM
19	Not worth the headache of writing a plan to earn \$200 when it cost \$500 to write the plan	4/10/2022 10:24 AM
20	I do have a plan	4/10/2022 10:07 AM
21	no livestock	4/9/2022 11:53 AM

Q10 Are you currently receiving Farmland Preservation Tax Credits?

Answered: 133 Skipped: 25

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	89.47%	119
No	10.53%	14
TOTAL		133

Q11 If not receiving tax credits, why not?

Answered: 21 Skipped: 137

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Unsure if receiving tax credits	6/3/2022 2:16 PM
2	I may drop it (due to cost of nutrient management plan)	6/3/2022 10:10 AM
3	Split residency in past	5/18/2022 9:04 AM
4	I don't think we qualify	4/29/2022 8:52 AM
5	N/A	4/26/2022 11:18 AM
6	not worth the hassle for the little amount you get paid	4/25/2022 2:12 PM
7	Dropped out of the program	4/19/2022 6:13 PM
8	Only own 3.5 acres, not currently farming	4/16/2022 4:18 PM
9	I am not sure	4/16/2022 7:47 AM
10	I was not aware this was available.	4/14/2022 5:38 PM
11	They expired and I am not sure how to renew them.	4/14/2022 11:27 AM
12	THEY WERE DISCONTINUED	4/13/2022 7:25 PM
13	Haven't looked into this option yet.	4/13/2022 4:38 PM
14	Some land not in Farmland Preservation and in non FP zoning.	4/13/2022 3:01 PM
15	Na	4/12/2022 8:55 AM
16	Had plan did not like telling my renters how to farm	4/11/2022 12:31 PM
17	na	4/10/2022 1:15 PM
18	don't have a nutrient plan because of the expense. If I do it my self the form is way to complicated and time consuming.	4/10/2022 12:14 PM
19	Benefits verses cost of being in the program. Also people overseeing program have no idea of costs in todays economy	4/10/2022 11:52 AM
20	Not claiming	4/10/2022 10:25 AM
21	I am	4/10/2022 10:07 AM

Q12 Which of the following statements best describes how you want the town, village, or city in which you farm to look 20 years from now?

Answered: 133 Skipped: 25

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Mostly agricultural and open lands	55.64%	74
Mix of agricultural, open lands and residential	25.56%	34
Mix of agricultural, business and residential	18.05%	24
Mostly residential and limited agriculture	0.00%	0
Mostly residential and business with limited agriculture	0.75%	1
TOTAL		133

Q13 Which type of non-farm commercial development would you support in the town, city, or village in which you farm?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

	SUPPORT	OPPOSE	TOTAL
Business related to farming	89.23% 116	10.77% 14	130
Large livestock operations	30.00% 39	70.00% 91	130
Agriculture related manufacturing (for example, fertilizer or ethanol plants)	43.08% 56	56.92% 74	130
Mineral extraction (mining and quarries)	34.35% 45	65.65% 86	131
Smaller stores and shops servicing mostly local residents (for example, coffee shops, restaurants)	56.93% 78	43.07% 59	137
Small home business operations	83.70% 113	16.30% 22	135
Commercial development located near state highways	58.91% 76	41.09% 53	129
Commercial development located along county highways	33.08% 44	66.92% 89	133
Renewable energy generation - solar	51.94% 67	48.06% 62	129
Renewal energy generation - wind	53.49% 69	46.51% 60	129

Q14 What is your primary agricultural product?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Dairy (Milk and other product from cows)	15.94%	22
Other animals and animal products	5.07%	7
Cattle or Calves	8.70%	12
Grains, Oilseeds, Dry Beans, and Dry Peas	47.10%	65
Other Crops	21.01%	29
Vegetables, Melons, Potatoes and Sweet Potatoes	0.72%	1
Nursery, Greenhouse, and Floriculture	1.45%	2
TOTAL		138

Q15 What other agricultural products do you grow/produce?

Answered: 112 Skipped: 46

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Dairy (Milk and Other Products from Cows)	4.46%	5
Other animals and animal products	11.61%	13
Cattle or Calves	17.86%	20
Grains, Oilseeds, Dry Beans, and Dry Peas	44.64%	50
Other Crops	35.71%	40
Vegetables. Melons, Potatoes, and Sweet Potatoes	7.14%	8
Nursery, Greenhouse, and Floriculture	2.68%	3
Total Respondents: 112		

Q16 How many acres do you farm?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than 1 Acre	2.17%	3
1 to 16 Acres	3.62%	5
17 to 35 Acres	2.90%	4
36 to 49 Acres	7.97%	11
50 to 179 Acres	36.23%	50
180 to 499 Acres	26.09%	36
500+ Acres	21.01%	29
TOTAL		138

Q17 Of this land, how many acres do you own?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than 1 Acre	2.90%	4
1 to 16 Acres	2.90%	4
17 to 35 Acres	1.45%	2
36 to 49 Acres	8.70%	12
50 to 179 Acres	36.96%	51
180 to 499 Acres	33.33%	46
500+ Acres	13.77%	19
TOTAL		138

Q18 Of this land, how many acres do you rent?

Answered: 124 Skipped: 34

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than 1 Acre	33.06%	41
1 to 16 Acres	9.68%	12
17 to 35 Acres	7.26%	9
36 to 49 Acres	8.06%	10
50 to 179 Acres	23.39%	29
180 to 499 Acres	11.29%	14
500+ Acres	7.26%	9
TOTAL		124

Q19 What do you believe is the viability of agricultural business in the town, village, or city in which you farm over the next 20 years?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strong	55.80%	77
Weak	19.57%	27
I don't know	24.64%	34
TOTAL		138

Q20 What agricultural activities do you believe are most viable?

Answered: 135 Skipped: 23

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Community supported agriculture (CSA)/local food supplier/value added products	12.59%	17
Dairy	24.44%	33
Meat or egg production	4.44%	6
Cash crops	55.56%	75
Alternative fuels	2.22%	3
Other	0.74%	1
TOTAL	135	

Q21 Should the town, village, or city in which I farm adopt goals to support:

Answered: 138 Skipped: 20

	YES	NO	UNSURE	TOTAL
Affordable housing opportunities	25.55% 35	48.18% 66	26.28% 36	137
Senior housing	38.97% 53	36.76% 50	24.26% 33	136
Commercial or business development	25.36% 35	47.10% 65	27.54% 38	138
Value added agricultural production (meat processing, vegetable processing and storage, small scale local food production)	61.31% 84	18.25% 25	20.44% 28	137
Permanent agricultural land preservation	78.26% 108	9.42% 13	12.32% 17	138
Permanent natural resource preservation	60.58% 83	17.52% 24	21.90% 30	137
Dense residential housing and smaller lots when agricultural land is preserved	47.45% 65	33.58% 46	18.98% 26	137
Community financing and acquisition of development rights when agricultural land is preserved	39.86% 55	28.26% 39	31.88% 44	138
Community financing of broadband improvement and expansion	57.66% 79	24.09% 33	18.25% 25	137
Productive farmland and agricultural businesses	79.56% 109	6.57% 9	13.87% 19	137
Protection of agricultural uses from incompatible uses	76.64% 105	2.92% 4	20.44% 28	137
Preservation of town, village, or city natural resources	86.67% 117	3.70% 5	9.63% 13	135
Residential housing development	15.56% 21	54.07% 73	30.37% 41	135
Current density (rural, etc) of town, village, or city	50.38% 67	10.53% 14	39.10% 52	133

Q22 How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

Answered: 136 Skipped: 22

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development	29.41%	40
About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development	31.62%	43
Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development	15.44%	21
Unsure	23.53%	32
TOTAL		136

Q23 Is there anything else you'd like to share with Dane County Planning Division regarding the recertification of the Farmland Preservation Plan?

Answered: 62 Skipped: 96

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	no	8/8/2022 4:54 PM
2	NO	6/16/2022 9:47 AM
3	Larger payment [likely referring to value of tax credit]	6/3/2022 2:19 PM
4	I myself have a strong value in keeping farmland	6/3/2022 10:28 AM
5	Dane County has too much park land, is all about Madison and not the rural parts of the county and farmers	6/3/2022 10:10 AM
6	No	5/17/2022 10:41 AM
7	I would like to see take place the recertification the Farmland Preservation Plan. But if you wish to innovate and create new direction engage us in a broad approach to the problem with a solution. You asked a question in this survey about the community supporting financing the purchase of development rights. This is a good overall simple solution. If the reduction in development is offset in some way to offset the reduction in the tax base. In our Town already we several issues with the purchase of private lands by the County for parks with causes a reduction in our tax base. But if development is guided to adjacent municipality we also loose tax revenues. How can this be resolved so as to benefit rural preservation. This is such an important consideration for ag land, open land to allow for ground water recharge, and natural resources areas such as having wetlands for flood protection. The CRP and CREEP Programs encourages this. With an increase in development more runoff takes place and during more frequent extreme 100 years rainfall events the costs are extremely high. Promote on rural open private lands wind generation to support local communities. there are so many benefits to cheaper energy and a more secure plan for the future.	5/6/2022 6:46 PM
8	I feel the current plan is acceptable and should include sustainable agriculture on smaller acres and forest cropland, trees are a natural resource that takes in what we exhale and provides what we inhale. Park land should not consume farmland! parks should be created in a natural resource protection area ie: rivers, transition area or land that cannot be tilled for farming.	5/1/2022 9:45 AM
9	I really don't know enough about all the topics talked about here. One thing I don't want to see wide open flat fields used for solar panels. Use land that is can't be farmed or put them on houses or farm buildings and silos. I really don't care for wind energy.	4/30/2022 6:54 AM
10	It is an important tool to maintain agriculture opportunities.	4/29/2022 10:04 AM
11	No	4/26/2022 11:18 AM
12	Only that we beleive it is a valuable tool for the preservation of farmland in our area.	4/25/2022 10:45 AM
13	Please recertify the Farmland Preservation Plan. Please Please Please	4/24/2022 7:39 PM
14	Don't charge farmers for anything more.	4/22/2022 4:37 PM
15	Farming is good lately, because commodity prices are high. As long as farmers make money, they won't want to sell off for development. All types of agriculture are good and should be supported. New lots should be smaller and higher density and use less land for buildings.	4/22/2022 2:59 PM
16	No	4/21/2022 7:33 AM
17	No	4/20/2022 10:01 AM
18	Unchecked annexation and development is a huge problem. Land owners are not being protected from the surge in rainwater discharge. Volume is what matters,using flow rate is a JOKE.	4/19/2022 6:13 PM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

19	help save farm land	4/19/2022 12:52 PM
20	FP preservation is doing good job. FP tax payments are not enough, and should be higher. It's an important goal.	4/19/2022 12:06 PM
21	NO	4/19/2022 10:38 AM
22	Reward conservation producers will history of conservation (strip till, minimum till, and no till). Increase farmland preservation credits	4/19/2022 10:19 AM
23	Along with the farmland preservation plan include the testing of our waters. the larger farms spread so much manure sometimes - that one year I could smell the manure in my drinking water. What needs or could be done to address this? To make sure all water is safe for all living things. The protection of the water supply should be a priority. I feel this needs to be addressed at all levels working together. Farmland preservation is a great place to start. Thank you	4/18/2022 10:02 PM
24	Each community should value undeveloped land and have a plan to keep it that way or improve upon it by planting trees, grasses, and other native plants. I know Urban expansion is inevitable, but efforts should be made to expand out from existing areas or areas that least impact the local marshland, rivers, lakes, and drinking water. A good balance of business, ag, and residential can hopefully be attained.	4/16/2022 4:18 PM
25	Open up tieling on workable wet lands	4/16/2022 12:46 PM
26	It is important to support solar and perhaps wind energy projects. Not only is that type of energy needed, but such efforts will preserve land at the same time. A double win.	4/16/2022 7:47 AM
27	we have been active in the Farmland Preservation program for years and hope to continue	4/15/2022 3:37 PM
28	Reiterated that things should not change	4/15/2022 3:34 PM
29	Feelings about large livestock depends: 1,000 OK, but 10,000 probably not. Should be sized so land can handle.	4/15/2022 2:34 PM
30	NO	4/15/2022 9:07 AM
31	I wish I understood more about it.	4/14/2022 6:09 PM
32	Solar energy and diverse farming are all viable in the future. Family farm since 1941, want to preserve it, and neighbor's lands. Town, village and Mount Horeb don't work together. Would rather preserve than develop. Opposed to ATC line that takes portions of farm. Haven't received Farmland Preservation income tax credits since 2016 on mother's lands. DOR has not responded to tax accountant.	4/14/2022 3:59 PM
33	no	4/14/2022 3:27 PM
34	My husband passed away 4 years ago. Please update records	4/14/2022 3:10 PM
35	Permits take too long to build a house. Shouldn't take a year.	4/14/2022 2:05 PM
36	Coordinate with other communities, limited representation for rural landowners in City of Fitchburg. CSA crops will continue to be strong due to proximity to metro area. On board of credit union for CSA, because banks won't finance. Labor-intensive, but profitable. Likes Dunn PDR program. Rural internet should be expanded, and city or other entity needs to require or subsidize. City comprehensive plan needs policies for preservation of rural areas. Rural area preservation not included in recent discussion about comprehensive plan updates.	4/14/2022 11:42 AM
37	When a farmland preservation contract expires the farm owner should be notified. That is the least that state and the county should do.	4/14/2022 11:27 AM
38	3 fiber optic lines going past house, but none can connect to nearby houses. Uses land lines, but cell tower within 150 feet of house. Smaller solar arrays that are not as visible would be fine. Local topography not good for wind power. Electrical transmission lines, wind turbines and solar panels need to be replaced after 20 years, or should be removed once decommissioned. Costs should be borne by utility that constructs these projects. Money should be set aside shortly after construction for removal or maintenance. Permitted residential densities should be lower than 1:35 acres. Tax credits make it possible for me to keep nutrient management plan on property.	4/14/2022 10:24 AM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

39	Continue to keep the current Farmland Preservation Plan in effect.	4/13/2022 9:32 PM
40	Thank you	4/13/2022 4:38 PM
41	Not interested in internet. Costs too much. Thought residential development near him was done, but now there's new houses near him. Not of all town is farmable. Does what he can for soil erosion, but in big storms there's a limit to what you can do. Property taxes going up, even though new development going in at higher rate. Mill rate still going up. New residents want better roads and costing existing residents. When new residents move into agricultural areas, traffic conflicts start between farm equipment and autos. New residents should respect farm equipment on roads. Land in Dane County 15-year set-aside for \$150/acre, lump sum payment created increase in income tax. If paid out over time, each year, would be more attractive. Too many rules and regulations associated with set-aside program. Too many restrictions on cutting and seeding, and oat cover crop. Use money to feed hungry and homeless, rather than parks and habitat. Worried about retirement with only Social Security to support. New landowners sticking new houses in the middle of farm fields: should they be eligible for CRP and other programs? Urban landowners driving up the price of farmland.	4/13/2022 4:15 PM
42	Uses cover crop of rye. Unhappy with large cow operations that haul liquid manure.	4/13/2022 3:21 PM
43	Large solar energy farms will change nature of the area, allow some landowners to purchase more land than others. Drainage issues with urban and suburban development in Koshkonong. Rural landowners pay into drained districts, while cities do not, even though they are creating impact.	4/13/2022 3:01 PM
44	Building up, not out. Farmers shouldn't build in the middle of good farmland. New residents anti-agriculture in the future, weren't brought up. Some farmers leave manure on roads or at times when traffic is high. Discourtesy between farming and urban residents. County and state roads need to be taken care of. What happens if solar farms or wind farms, if they are decommissioned, or company goes bankrupt. In farming for sanity. Worked elsewhere for health insurance. Democracy means self-discipline, people should police themselves	4/13/2022 12:00 PM
45	No cell phone coverage along Highway 19 and other parts of the Town of Berry. Everyone wants to build on the best land.	4/13/2022 10:50 AM
46	Impact of manure hauling on roads. Winter time spreading of manure, impacts on Maunasha River. Shouldn't spread when ground is frozen. Not enough enforcement. Horse manure piled next to the ditch. 25 acres in CREP.	4/13/2022 10:17 AM
47	no	4/12/2022 10:54 PM
48	No	4/12/2022 3:42 PM
49	It is obvious everyone hates everything a farmer does, so make it a fast merciful death.	4/12/2022 11:35 AM
50	Koshkonong Solar is incompatible with Farmland Preservation, Land Use plans. Need stronger county involvement in these situations.	4/12/2022 8:55 AM
51	They let the solar farm pass in Christiana. How is this farmland preservation? Not right taking all this good farmland for this. Those crops give off oxygen and use CO ₂ . They took 6400 acres of that away. Makes no sense for that to happen.	4/11/2022 10:02 AM
52	No	4/10/2022 7:53 PM
53	I can't stand anything associated with Dane County and their employees right now	4/10/2022 7:26 PM
54	The county has gotten out of hand when it comes to farmers trying to expand. I can see it for large animal housing but all the hoops to go through to just put up a shed is crazy. This is how crazy your people have gotten.... I can mowboard plow a field and don't have to put up a stilt fence but put up a shed on level ground with great drainage and we have to waste money on permits for flood control and drainage beside putting in a stilt fence. It makes no sense!!! You make rules to make sure you got to keep your jobs.	4/10/2022 1:15 PM
55	Keep the FPP process simple. We all have enough problems to deal with. Concerning Question 22, I see a lot of residential development (houses, apartments, condos) being developed on prime farmland and especially between Madison and Pine Bluff. Your policies are not working because that development should be done within Madison, not on prime farmland.	4/10/2022 12:27 PM
56	have a conservation plan where the large crop farmer can not open whole farms from fence row to fence row with no conservation practices observed	4/10/2022 11:52 AM

Farmland Preservation Plan Recertification Survey

57	Monitor the larger farms on nutrient management plans instead of picking on small farms that they know will pass the directive.	4/10/2022 10:25 AM
58	No	4/10/2022 10:07 AM
59	Farmers gave up many potential housing sites when their respective Township entered into FPP. Now many of these townships are allowing non farmers more density. Seems almost criminal!	4/9/2022 8:46 PM
60	The recertification process should be leaned out, streamlined and simplified. It is overly onerous and bureaucratic now.	4/9/2022 4:15 PM
61	No	4/9/2022 2:46 PM
62	Recertify Farmland Preservation	4/9/2022 11:53 AM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

Introduction

Welcome!

Thank you for responding to this survey regarding Dane County's recertification of the Farmland Preservation Plan. Your opinions and experiences are a valuable tool that will help shape the future of farming in Dane County.

Dane County is currently in process of recertifying the Farmland Preservation Plan, as the previous iteration was certified in 2012. The Farmland Preservation Plan serves many goals with the most prominent being eligibility of farmland for tax credits under the State Farmland Preservation Plan (Under State Statute Ch. 91.51).

The Farmland Preservation Plan showcases the importance of agriculture to the state and county economy, as well as discusses the threat of fringe development on our farmlands. Ultimately, the Farmland Preservation Plan serves as a way for farmers and their organizations to ensure that their concerns are addressed and heard at the county level.

More information on the current Farmland Preservation Plan (FPP) and updates for our recertification can be found at the following link:

<https://plandev.countyofdane.com/planning/Farmland-Preservation-Plan>

1. What town, village, or city do you live in?

2. Do you work in the agriculture field? (Farming, Implement Dealer, Etc)

Yes

No

Dane County is one of Wisconsin's fast growing urban centers, but it also leads the state in most categories of agricultural production, with a total market value of Dane County Agriculture being over 500 million dollars. Below you can see the data from the 2017 Agriculture Census that showcases all of Dane County's agricultural ventures and their valuation, and state and national rank.

3. The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for **farming communities in Dane County**. Please rate each.

Strongly Agree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Disagree Strongly Disagree Unsure

Dane County's

farming

communities should

be low density residential

Dane County's farming communities should promote more non-agriculture commercial development

Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed

Dane County's farming communities should promote the permanent preservation of farmland

Dane County's farming communities should restrict policies to better guide growth

Farming is an important part of the future of Dane County's farming communities

Agricultural businesses should be promoted in Dane County's farming communities

Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for a limited amount of commercial development

Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential development are a problem in Dane County's farming communities

Land use conflicts between commercial and agricultural development is a problem in Dane County's farming communities

Housing affordability

is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities

It is important to have coordinated land use plans with surrounding communities

Farmland affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities

Dane County's farming communities should pursue High Speed Internet Service.

Dane County should be using taxpayer dollars to preserve farmland and natural resources

Nonmetallic mining should be allowed in Dane County's farming communities

Existing agricultural buildings can be used for non agricultural purposes (landscaping companies, barn venues, RV storage, etc.)

4. How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

- Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Unsure

5. How do you feel about the future of farming in Dane County over the next 20 years? Please Explain:

6. What do you want to know about the Farmland Preservation Plan, and/or farming in Dane County?

Q1 What town, village, or city do you live in?

Answered: 69 Skipped: 3

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Black Earth	9/1/2022 10:12 PM
2	Madison	8/29/2022 11:49 AM
3	mazomanie	8/24/2022 3:29 PM
4	Madison	8/24/2022 12:32 PM
5	Town of Berry	8/24/2022 11:50 AM
6	springdale township	8/10/2022 3:13 PM
7	City of Monona	8/9/2022 11:56 AM
8	Madison	8/9/2022 8:59 AM
9	Albion	8/8/2022 1:42 PM
10	Town of Springfield	8/8/2022 7:25 AM
11	Dunn	8/7/2022 5:55 PM
12	Rutland	8/7/2022 3:25 PM
13	Cottage Grove, WI 53527	8/6/2022 4:00 PM
14	T. Medina	8/3/2022 10:09 AM
15	McFarland	8/1/2022 10:12 PM
16	Madison	8/1/2022 8:43 PM
17	Madison	8/1/2022 4:41 PM
18	Roxbury	8/1/2022 12:17 PM
19	Madison	8/1/2022 10:11 AM
20	Madison	8/1/2022 10:01 AM
21	Monona	8/1/2022 9:08 AM
22	Mount Horeb	8/1/2022 8:31 AM
23	Dunkirk	7/28/2022 12:09 PM
24	Madison	7/24/2022 9:47 PM
25	City of Madison	7/24/2022 11:05 AM
26	Dane	7/23/2022 8:05 AM
27	Madison	7/23/2022 6:31 AM
28	Madison	7/22/2022 10:21 PM
29	Madison	7/22/2022 9:45 PM
30	Madison	7/22/2022 7:15 PM
31	City of Madison	7/22/2022 7:01 PM
32	madizon	7/22/2022 5:10 AM
33	McFarland	7/21/2022 8:08 AM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

34	Miss	7/20/2022 5:43 PM
35	sun prairie	7/20/2022 3:22 PM
36	Madison	7/20/2022 9:05 AM
37	New Glarus	7/19/2022 6:25 PM
38	Madison	7/19/2022 5:58 PM
39	Perry Township	7/19/2022 3:44 PM
40	Madison	7/19/2022 2:03 PM
41	Middleton	7/19/2022 1:03 PM
42	Oregon	7/19/2022 11:58 AM
43	Madison	7/19/2022 10:37 AM
44	Brooklyn	7/19/2022 10:18 AM
45	Verona	7/19/2022 9:38 AM
46	Dane	7/19/2022 8:58 AM
47	City of Middleton	7/19/2022 8:54 AM
48	Middleton	7/19/2022 8:53 AM
49	Madison	7/19/2022 8:28 AM
50	Madison	7/19/2022 8:24 AM
51	Town of Cross Plains	7/18/2022 10:23 PM
52	Madison	7/18/2022 8:16 PM
53	City of Madison since Dec. 2014, previously 43 years in Christiana Township on small acreage, raised a few head cattle, goats, etc.	7/18/2022 7:35 PM
54	Madison	7/18/2022 6:18 PM
55	Stoughton	7/18/2022 4:08 PM
56	Madison	7/18/2022 1:50 PM
57	City of Madison	7/18/2022 1:37 PM
58	Monona	7/18/2022 12:42 PM
59	Dunkirk	7/18/2022 12:36 PM
60	Windsor	7/18/2022 12:24 PM
61	Madison	7/18/2022 10:29 AM
62	Madison	7/17/2022 6:53 AM
63	Sun Prairie	7/15/2022 11:08 PM
64	dane	7/15/2022 4:31 PM
65	Town of Cottage Grove	7/15/2022 3:30 PM
66	Springdale	7/15/2022 3:05 PM
67	Town of Springfield	7/15/2022 2:33 PM
68	Town of Sun Prairie	7/15/2022 10:57 AM
69	City of Madison	7/13/2022 4:13 PM

Q2 Do you work in the agriculture field? (Farming, Implement Dealer, Etc)

Answered: 69 Skipped: 3

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	37.68%	26
No	62.32%	43
TOTAL		69

Q3 The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for farming communities in Dane County. Please rate each.

Answered: 71 Skipped: 1

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

	STRONGLY AGREE	SOMEWHAT AGREE	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	UNSURE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Dane County's farming communities should be low density residential	31.43% 22	28.57% 20	14.29% 10	5.71% 4	20.00% 14	70	2.54
Dane County's farming communities should promote more non-agriculture commercial development	9.86% 7	22.54% 16	21.13% 15	39.44% 28	7.04% 5	71	3.11
Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed	63.38% 45	15.49% 11	5.63% 4	1.41% 1	14.08% 10	71	1.87
Dane County's farming communities should promote the permanent preservation of farmland	69.01% 49	21.13% 15	7.04% 5	0.00% 0	2.82% 2	71	1.46
Dane County's farming communities should restrict policies to better guide growth	33.33% 22	21.21% 14	10.61% 7	18.18% 12	16.67% 11	66	2.64
Farming is an important part of the future of Dane County's farming communities	76.06% 54	21.13% 15	1.41% 1	0.00% 0	1.41% 1	71	1.30
Agricultural businesses should be promoted in Dane County's farming communities	46.48% 33	40.85% 29	7.04% 5	0.00% 0	5.63% 4	71	1.77
Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for a limited amount of commercial development	10.00% 7	22.86% 16	27.14% 19	25.71% 18	14.29% 10	70	3.11
Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential development are a problem in Dane County's farming communities	45.07% 32	25.35% 18	7.04% 5	4.23% 3	18.31% 13	71	2.25
Land use conflicts between commercial and agricultural development is a problem in Dane County's farming communities	38.03% 27	26.76% 19	8.45% 6	2.82% 2	23.94% 17	71	2.48
Housing affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities	47.89% 34	19.72% 14	4.23% 3	2.82% 2	25.35% 18	71	2.38
It is important to have coordinated land use plans with surrounding communities	64.79% 46	28.17% 20	4.23% 3	2.82% 2	0.00% 0	71	1.45
Farmland affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities	66.20% 47	15.49% 11	2.82% 2	0.00% 0	15.49% 11	71	1.83
Dane County's farming communities should pursue High Speed Internet Service.	66.20% 47	22.54% 16	4.23% 3	0.00% 0	7.04% 5	71	1.59
Dane County should be using taxpayer dollars to preserve	57.75% 41	26.76% 19	5.63% 4	5.63% 4	4.23% 3	71	1.72

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

farmland and natural resources

Nonmetallic mining should be allowed in Dane County's farming communities	4.23% 3	18.31% 13	18.31% 13	43.66% 31	15.49% 11	71	3.48
Existing agricultural buildings can be used for non agricultural purposes (landscaping companies, barn venues, RV storage, etc.)	36.62% 26	46.48% 33	8.45% 6	2.82% 2	5.63% 4	71	1.94

Q4 How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

Answered: 71 Skipped: 1

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development	8.45%	6
About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development	23.94%	17
Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development	33.80%	24
Unsure	33.80%	24
TOTAL		71

Q5 How do you feel about the future of farming in Dane County over the next 20 years? Please Explain:

Answered: 63 Skipped: 9

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Many change	9/1/2022 10:12 PM
2	My feelings are mixed. I think land access support for beginning farmers should be part of any farmland preservation plan. I am disheartened by consolidation, our addiction to corn and soy and increased cost of entry for farmers regardless of scale/size of operation. Housing is also a limiting factor.	8/29/2022 11:49 AM
3	climate change will disrupt farming everywhere	8/24/2022 3:29 PM
4	Alarmed about the degree of sprawl over last 20 years and concerned that current policies are not strong enough to limit commercial and high density residential development in farmland.	8/24/2022 12:32 PM
5	Not good. Only rich farmers will be left. They sell high and buy land from small or retiring farmers. Small farms won't exist	8/24/2022 11:50 AM
6	the policies have of Dane County have pushed out agriculture in the county. The county continually purchasing farmland is competing with the farming community for land base and increasing farmland pricing.	8/10/2022 3:13 PM
7	I hope that people start to value nutrition and sustainability more in order to pay agricultural workers better wages.	8/9/2022 11:56 AM
8	We need to have local food options from food grown close to us. I hate all this commercial and residential development that steals land from wildlife and destroys nature.	8/9/2022 8:59 AM
9	Not a positive outlook. Burying farm land under Chinese solar panels is a poor use of resources	8/8/2022 1:42 PM
10	The future of farming in Dane county doesn't look good. Dane county says that they are preserving farmland by buying it and putting it into parks. That is not saving farmland when it is never farmed again. That is not helping the farming community by taking away more farmland.	8/8/2022 7:25 AM
11	20 years is impossible to predict. And "farming" is a very, very broad endeavor that makes generalizations both difficult and dangerous. That said, a few thoughts. Socioeconomic trends likely will continue to pressure long-term farm operations and ownership. The two biggest matters are the cost of land and the social acceptance of agriculture neighbors. The cost of land can be mitigated by adequate farmland preservation programs (more robust than today's). The social acceptance is best mitigated by keeping residential areas and agricultural areas as distinct as possible. Slow creeping "infestations" of residential enclaves can be very detrimental to ongoing ag. operations.	8/7/2022 5:55 PM
12	Dane County is already a pretty urban county. The growth pressure will likely continue. Current policies lead to distributed housing which still consume farmland.	8/7/2022 3:25 PM
13	Will further decline due to regulations and government oversight and suburban growth pressures	8/6/2022 4:00 PM
14	Small and new farmers cannot afford farmland, even to rent. The handful of rich or established farmers snap up all farmland, especially where manure spreading is concerned. Digesters should be more widespread and take manure from more farmers, leaving land for new farmers to attempt to rent/buy. Encouraging manure produced in the watershed to leave the watershed simply pushes the problem elsewhere, and leads to extensive hauling on rural roads not meant to handle daily haul with large farm rigs. Also, manure spreading elsewhere is not considerate for those who purposefully live where large dairies are not prevalent (not small family herds-large 700+ dairies).	8/3/2022 10:09 AM
15	I think that all farming in Dane County should transition to regenerative farming with	8/1/2022 10:12 PM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

	sustainable methods of farming that reduce runoff and flooding.	
16	Important for the economy, but farming has to get cleaner. Runoff and emissions are serious problems for the community. Incentivize shifts to non-animal agriculture.	8/1/2022 8:43 PM
17	It will only be affordable for the larger farmers, the medium to small farmers will not be able to keep up to land costs or land rent price increases.	8/1/2022 12:17 PM
18	There is a future for continuing the tradition of farming in Dane County with good planning. Farmers should be encouraged to adopt best practices to reduce the negative effects of tilling, over-fertilization and manure spreading. Tax incentives and financial help to adopt best practices and plant berms of beneficial plants in border areas would be great for both residential and farming land owners. I live in a residential area that borders farming, and if the field edges were maintained and best practices adopted in the farming, I would be pleased to have them next door. Organizations that pair homeowners with those who own farmland could be a good start in the dialogue. The tension will exist as residential areas are developed here in Dane County. Encouraging good compromises between the two types of land uses would be a great help to everyone.	8/1/2022 10:01 AM
19	CLA should separate dairy and other animal agriculture from non-animal agriculture when analyzing and reporting upon the future of farming in Dane County. Studies from the U.N., environmental organizations, and universities show that animal agriculture is not sustainable. CLA should support policies that encourage farmers to transition away from animal agriculture. Thanks for the work that you do.	8/1/2022 9:08 AM
20	The focus needs to be on regenerative farming and providing resources to farmers to transition from the current model to one that is more diverse and maintains the health of the land	8/1/2022 8:31 AM
21	Reduction in farming as the general production of food, increases in farming as either a lifestyle choice, or to support the desire for niche high-quality (generally expensive) foods in madison (farmer's market, organic, etc).	7/28/2022 12:09 PM
22	too much hopscotched development puts too much pressure on farms to sell for higher development prices	7/24/2022 11:05 AM
23	I would hope Dane County would support small (50 acres or less) locally owned farms, especially those using sustainable methods, rather than large corporate enterprise	7/23/2022 8:05 AM
24	I want to see Dane County lead the country in sustainable farming and agriculture, in the same way it does for climate forward policy. I also want to see more pursuits of climate forward infrastructure on farms, such as wind turbines and solar panel installation, such as was done for the Koshkonong Solar Energy Center.	7/23/2022 6:31 AM
25	Insufficient knowledge to form opinion.	7/22/2022 10:21 PM
26	Farming is important to protect, but Madison and Dane County as a whole are growing rapidly. Restricting development through farmland preservation won't do anything long-term other than price farmers out of the area. They should be able to sell to whomever whenever.	7/22/2022 7:15 PM
27	end it in the watershed & stop polluting the lakes	7/22/2022 5:10 AM
28	I think the emphasis should be on vegetable and plant farming, not large-scale meat. Also, worry about the environmental effects these animal farms have.	7/21/2022 8:08 AM
29	If we don't preserve farmland, Dane County will be all city and suburbs.	7/20/2022 5:43 PM
30	likely to be squeezed out	7/20/2022 3:22 PM
31	It's more how about how wrong it is to destroy some of the best food growing acreage on the planet. Very short sighted!	7/20/2022 9:05 AM
32	Urban sprawl is an issue; increasing traffic issues with farm equipment, increased light pollution and driving up land costs to new farmers.	7/19/2022 6:25 PM
33	I would love to see sustainable farming embraced as a part of Dane County, and I think that the way to do this is through government policies that support farmers transitioning away from monocropping and industrial farming.	7/19/2022 5:58 PM
34	We need mixed use farm land. People need homes and strict townships and villages stand in the way. Yes, it's nice to have open spaces, but where will people live?	7/19/2022 3:44 PM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

35	Local/Regional farming will become increasingly important in the coming years as fuel costs continue to rise. We need to increase urban ag and "victory"-style gardens. We need to encourage the pursuit of farming practices that conserve water and build soil, reducing toxic pesticides and herbicides, managing/integrating waste streams, and reinstalling wind breaks in fields to reduce erosion. Developers should not be allowed truck topsoil out of new housing developments. A strong formula should be used to balance housing development with shared green space including tree canopy to compensate for increased heat island effect, and with perennial food sources to support all residents.	7/19/2022 3:10 PM
36	I am concerned that valuable farmland will continue to be turned into residential development.	7/19/2022 2:03 PM
37	We have lost way too many small farms to high prices and not enough subsidies for them.	7/19/2022 1:03 PM
38	I'm concerned about the rate at which good farmland is being consumed for commercial development, and feel that we should be more aggressively protecting land from development, as well as encouraging urban agriculture even in smaller towns.	7/19/2022 11:58 AM
39	Land is already way too expensive so farming is being pushed out of Dane County for all but the wealthiest farmers or those who already own land. Without protections on farmland, values will continue to increase and development will continue to encroach until nearly all the farmland is developed.	7/19/2022 11:52 AM
40	I would want no new CAFOs and more organic plant farming.	7/19/2022 10:37 AM
41	The cost of farmland is prohibitively expensive and is preventing younger people from starting to farm, expand operations or to be able to take over the family farm. Housing developments are creeping too far outside of our small towns and greater density needs to be encouraged. Programs needs to be created to allow farmers to retire without having to sell off lots for McMansions or split acreage into 10-acre residential lots.	7/19/2022 10:18 AM
42	Currently, there seems to be a battle between preserving the status quo (preserving all farmland and conventional ways of doing agriculture) and allowing development to sprawl uncontrolled. I think the solution is somewhere in between. Farming is an important part of our local economy and identity, and will continue to be vital to our regional well-being over the next 20 years and beyond. However, there is a need for more housing; and conventional farming practices are a significant contributor to water quality issues we experience in the region and climate change issues we are increasingly facing everywhere. While preserving quality farmland is important, there also needs to be more of a push toward sustainable agriculture practices that do more to protect water quality, improve soil health, and reduce emissions contributing to climate change. If done right, there is great potential for the farming industry to be a leader in our efforts toward a more sustainable and resilient future.	7/19/2022 9:38 AM
43	More natural (organic) practices! Less pesticides!!!	7/19/2022 8:58 AM
44	Encourage small family farms and discourage development and big agribusiness that destroy the environment.	7/19/2022 8:54 AM
45	Urban sprawl without much planning is detrimental however, pesticides, herbicides use and synthetic fertilizers are equally detrimental to the health of the environment and people	7/19/2022 8:53 AM
46	Dane County is unique in having wonderful farmland with a City that can support a diverse array of agricultural enterprise. Dane County should do everything we can to continue to maintain that balance.	7/19/2022 8:24 AM
47	I'm worried about sprawl. We need to protect farmland from development. And encourage diversification and production of products to be consumed locally.	7/18/2022 10:23 PM
48	I think it will decline because people constantly Want to build and farmers don't make any money. Sad and scary	7/18/2022 8:16 PM
49	Christian township, for example, is losing valuable crop land to admittedly needed solar installations. In the later 70's to 80's, Time Magazine included the soil of the township among the nation's top ten. That's an irreplaceable loss, and farmland owners are tempted by the dollar gain of selling the property rights. Somehow landowners need a financial reason to resist short term gain. Certainly there are similar financial pressures behind sales of valuable tillable lands.	7/18/2022 7:35 PM
50	make land more accessible to small growers as land is very hard to obtain for the small market farmer, help the small family farms come back	7/18/2022 7:00 PM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

51	I think agriculture is an indispensable asset for Dane County, not only for the revenue it generates and the beauty it creates, but also because it makes Dane County an enormous resource for a new generation of farmers. Agriculture has the unique potential to help fix the climate crisis by sequestering atmospheric carbon, and for that additional reason, Dane County's farmland should be preserved and its farmers supported in growing food in a climate-smart way. Dane County should expand its programs and staff to support sustainable agriculture through techniques such as agroforestry, low- or no-till, managed grazing, cover crops, and supporting soil microbiology. It should continue and expand its programs to ensure manure and nutrients are responsibly managed, not only for the health of our climate, but our air and water as well.	7/18/2022 6:18 PM
52	Agriculture certainly plays a role in the identity of Dane County and provides many benefits to the residents like green space. It isn't without downsides though. I certainly don't want all of Dane County to become like the suburbs of Chicago with constant strip malls but I don't believe tax payer dollars should go to subsidizing farmers who don't care for natural resources or therefore have an unfair advantage in the economy or their industry.	7/18/2022 1:50 PM
53	There is a conflict of interests between the big areas of farming, commercial development, and housing. We have to have planning and policy that take all of these into account, and that cover the full county area. This is critical so that we can achieve multiple goals including preserving vital farmland and developing housing and commercial efforts in an efficient and useful way. CARPC has just completed a comprehensive planning framework that should be reviewed and adopted (fully or to a large degree). It would be a huge missed opportunity not to make use of the CARPC efforts and insight.	7/18/2022 1:37 PM
54	Land is like gold. Good, healthy, living soil is even more valuable. Farming, at it's best, is a hard journey. Without community, support and appropriate policy it's almost impossible. Restoration agriculture can be the future.	7/18/2022 12:42 PM
55	The cost of real estate is prohibitive and the farming community has an aging population problem. We should be using grants to help young farmers establish themselves, especially those who are looking to build sustainable and organic farming practices on tired land.	7/18/2022 12:36 PM
56	Too much farmland is becoming housing and industrial parks.	7/18/2022 12:24 PM
57	I feel like development is chipping away at the important historical, economic, and cultural role agriculture plays in Dane County, and that future is in jeopardy in the next 20 years. I think this is a pivotal time that we will look back at with appreciation or regret.	7/18/2022 10:29 AM
58	Gone are the days of family farms. I see more corporations taking over farming.	7/15/2022 11:08 PM
59	Cities and Villages are taking too much farmland for housing	7/15/2022 3:30 PM
60	I think Dane County needs to be much more proactive in envisioning holistically how it wants to protect its future of farming over the next 20 years and beyond, and pursue policy strategies that promote this vision. I would like to see farming continue to be a core part of Dane County's future, but we are currently not doing nearly enough proactive planning and policy making to ensure that future. Instead, sprawling commercial and residential development are rapidly consuming farmland and farmland is severely unaffordable for beginning farmers, particularly for small farmers who are pursuing alternative and small-scale agriculture. They cannot possibly compete with developers or wealthy retirees hoping for a rural lifestyle without major financial assistance. Moreover, not all farming is equal--Dane County should strongly pursue policies and incentives to promote environmentally sustainable and just farming practices such as organic, regenerative, and diversified agriculture. This means thinking through a whole array of issues, including affordability of farmland, support for helping farmers convert land from conventional to sustainable operations, support for BIPOC farmers, support for more alternative business models such as farm incubators and farm cooperatives (see Poudre Valley Community Farms), Smart growth regulations to encourage infill, density, walkability, and redevelopment in existing communities and density bonus-type strategies for new rural developments, and support for conservation easements and other strategies that preserve in perpetuity our open and working lands for agriculture and conservation. A few comments specific to the survey questions: - Dane County's farming communities should restrict policies to better guide growth -- this question seems confusing, but just to be clear, I feel that Dane County's farming communities should absolutely NOT restrict policies to guide better growth--they should be encouraging those policies! - Dane County's farming communities should be low density residential -- This depends. In towns, development should be high-density residential and concentrated in towns, focused on walkability and promoting	7/15/2022 3:05 PM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

LOCAL business, not chains. But outside of towns, encouraging as little development as possible, and as much farmland preservation as possible, seems like the way to go. I suppose if new development needs to happen outside of towns, it would be best for houses to be clustered in new developments to preserve as much open space as possible (the density bonus thing). - Dane County's farming communities should promote more non-agriculture commercial development -- Again, this depends. If the commercial development was for things like food distribution hubs and local meat and dairy processors, then YES, that would be great. And other businesses that promote local food systems would be great. But Dane County should focus on promoting other non-local commercial development/redevelopment IN existing towns and cities, not doing greenfield development. Ultimately, they should aim for steady-state economy rather than a growth economy. - Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed -- Again, just wanted to clarify that new development should be mitigated and density and infill/redevelopment should be the priority, NOT new development. But I suppose that if new development occurs, it is best being adjacent to areas that are already developed rather than in new areas. This, however, requires a holistic county-wide plan so it doesn't just turn into endless tack-ons in suburban sprawl communities like is happening on the west side of Madison out Mineral Pt Road towards Middleton. As new development happens, it really needs to focus on mixed use to avoid the fate of driving-oriented suburb-scape. - Agricultural businesses should be promoted in Dane County's farming communities -- Again, this depends. LOCAL/regional and sustainable agricultural businesses such as Seed Savers should be promoted, but big ag business including large corporate seed/chemical companies like Syngenta and Bayer should NOT be promoted. This form of agriculture has no place in our world's future, as they are decimating our pollinator populations and destroying environmental and human health.

61	<p>With all due respect, I think this short survey, while important and not completely relevant, has missed the PRIMARY issue: Industrialized Farming! Agriculture is NOT just industrialized farming: your survey makes no distinction and this is a fundamental mistake. We need to split the two and you will get different answers for both. The industrialized farmers want and need large tracks of contiguous land to operate at the industrial economies of scale the benefit them and their task-master corporations. Most Dane county citizens support "Agriculture" or "Farming" as a category, but do not support the large scale industrialize point sources of pollution and disease that we know with great scientific support that they are. This questionnaire (and Dane County Planning efforts) have unfortunately fallen very short of where it needs to be and is alienating the bulk of the "informed" public that understands the issue is not about Ag or not-Ag but about the type of Ag.</p>	7/15/2022 2:33 PM
62	<p>Economically threatened due to high labor costs and continued consumer disinterest in paying for high value foods. However this may change with climate change, immigration, and international upheavals. I picture more small scale food producers moving to Dane County's surrounding areas enhancing the foodshed to Madison.</p>	7/15/2022 10:57 AM
63	<p>Farming seems to be an industry in slow decline with farmers struggling to earn enough from what they produce to make a good living (UNLESS you're a huge operation). Unfortunately, as farms become bigger and more industrialized, our environmental and public-health quality continues to go down. We need policies that benefit small, family-farming operations that adopt good conservation practices and not reward growth for its own sake.</p>	7/13/2022 4:13 PM

Q6 What do you want to know about the Farmland Preservation Plan, and/or farming in Dane County?

Answered: 47 Skipped: 25

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I would like to know the status of Purchase of Agriculture Conservation Easements and pursuing tax incremental financing and/or tax breaks for farmland transfer to non-family members. I would also like to know more about what plans are for farmland transition to non-family members.	8/29/2022 11:49 AM
2	Areas designated for preservation, strategies to incentivize preservation over development.	8/24/2022 12:32 PM
3	Hasn't stopped loss of farm lane	8/24/2022 11:50 AM
4	There is a huge disconnect between what the land owners requirements are to receive farmland preservation. This is not a money making venture for the owners if they follow the rules. Nutrient management plans are not written for free and they don't understand conservation plans need to be followed. Why can't this be better/more clearly communicated?????????	8/10/2022 3:13 PM
5	Not much.	8/9/2022 11:56 AM
6	I want to know how they intend to preserve the land properly and steward it to keep it sustained.	8/9/2022 8:59 AM
7	Having cattle and complying with the rules doesn't pay enough	8/8/2022 1:42 PM
8	How to recertify for farmland preservation.	8/8/2022 7:25 AM
9	Quite a bit. I am a retired beef farmer from Grant County so I pay attention to farm matters in Dane county where I now live.	8/7/2022 5:55 PM
10	I need to know much more about it.	8/7/2022 3:25 PM
11	Overall direction over next few years.	8/6/2022 4:00 PM
12	Little too nothing at all.	8/1/2022 10:12 PM
13	How are we pursuing a shift toward non animal agriculture (from animal agriculture) in Dane County?	8/1/2022 8:43 PM
14	How much is being done (financially and educational) to support the adoption of better practices in farming? No till, manure composting, mixed prairie berms at the edges of fields, understanding fertilization needs, considering alternative crops for animal feed, etc.	8/1/2022 10:01 AM
15	What Dane County is doing to promote regenerative farming practices	8/1/2022 8:31 AM
16	I don't know much about it, I am interested in learn more.	7/28/2022 12:09 PM
17	how are you going to promote continued farming with housing needs and development pressures? see 1998 "Exploring Natural and Cultural Patterns: Crafting Dane County's Future."	7/24/2022 11:05 AM
18	General info.	7/22/2022 10:21 PM
19	I'm from a long-standing farming family, but not a farmer myself.	7/22/2022 7:15 PM
20	already very familiar. own a 'split.'	7/22/2022 5:10 AM
21	Looking for transparency in how resources are spent and what goals the plan hands in types and kinds of farms to preserve.	7/21/2022 8:08 AM
22	I want to know that sustainable farming will take precedence over other land use needs. There are plenty of other places for people live, and plenty of ways of preserving the land.	7/20/2022 9:05 AM
23	I would like to know to the goals of the current Farmland Preservation Plan and what the	7/19/2022 5:58 PM

Farmland Preservation Public Survey

	county's hopes are for the new plan.	
24	Upcoming community meetings.	7/19/2022 3:44 PM
25	How will the county encourage and support an increase in locally produced food supplies to ensure sufficient food supplies in a future where more people are unable to afford foods imported from outside the county/state/country due volatility in the cost of food due to an increase in catastrophic climate events and increased fuel prices?	7/19/2022 3:10 PM
26	What provisions are in place to save farmland for future farming?	7/19/2022 2:03 PM
27	A little.	7/19/2022 1:03 PM
28	I'd like to know what I can do to help protect our farmland (and adjacent wild areas).	7/19/2022 11:58 AM
29	I don't know anything about this.	7/19/2022 10:37 AM
30	How are we encouraging farmers to adopt sustainable practices? With an abundance of food waste everywhere, how much land is actually needed to produce enough to feed everyone? Does the Plan do anything to address food waste?	7/19/2022 9:38 AM
31	Please use less pesticides!	7/19/2022 8:58 AM
32	That they care about the people and environment, and not just making the big bucks.	7/19/2022 8:54 AM
33	How is the plan impacting current big farm practices now?	7/19/2022 8:53 AM
34	Just a note that this specific question is very poorly worded. I want to preserve natural resources, but spending taxpayer dollars to preserve farmland just because it's been farmland in the past makes no sense to me: "Dane County should be using taxpayer dollars to preserve farmland and natural resources"	7/19/2022 8:28 AM
35	How small urban farms fit into the plan	7/18/2022 8:16 PM
36	Would like to see the preservation of productive soils encouraged.	7/18/2022 7:35 PM
37	I am curious to know how family farmers in Dane County feel about their prospects: whether they see a secure future for their family businesses, or whether they feel under threat from large agricultural corporations, or urban creep. I am also curious what supports there are to help the next generation of farmers gain a secure foothold, especially those seeking to farm in an ecologically- and socially-responsible way.	7/18/2022 6:18 PM
38	What conservation practices are mandatory and to what extent nutrient management plans are followed or enforced.	7/18/2022 1:50 PM
39	Not sure. Thanks for this survey.	7/18/2022 12:42 PM
40	Which farms are benefiting from the programs?	7/18/2022 12:24 PM
41	Where to learn more.	7/18/2022 10:29 AM
42	Very little after taking this survey.	7/17/2022 6:53 AM
43	Will it be able to stop urban growth into prime lands for farming?	7/15/2022 3:30 PM
44	Please keep the public informed about opportunities to participate in these farmland preservation planning processes!	7/15/2022 3:05 PM
45	Preserve Ag Land that is willing to adhere via CUP to Clean Agriculture and place monitoring devices through our our rural communities to counter the large scale deception that is pushed by Dairy CAFOs in Dane County. Please officials at Dane County Planning, get informed and get on the right side of this issue!	7/15/2022 2:33 PM
46	Thanks for doing this update.	7/15/2022 10:57 AM
47	Why do we often the put the interests of the agricultural industry above the public's interest in clean water? Sometimes you can't have both if "business as usual" means our water quality continues to suffer.	7/13/2022 4:13 PM

Farmland Preservation Stakeholder Group Survey

Introduction

Welcome!

Thank you for responding to this survey regarding Dane County's recertification of the Farmland Preservation Plan. Your opinions and experiences are a valuable tool that will help shape the future of farming in Dane County.

Dane County is currently in process of recertifying the Farmland Preservation Plan, as the previous iteration was certified in 2012. The Farmland Preservation Plan serves many goals with the most prominent being eligibility of farmland for tax credits under the State Farmland Preservation Plan (Under State Statute Ch. 91.51).

The Farmland Preservation Plan showcases the importance of agriculture to the state and county economy, as well as discusses the threat of fringe development on our farmlands. Ultimately, the Farmland Preservation Plan serves as a way for farmers and their organizations to ensure that their concerns are addressed and heard at the county level.

More information on the current Farmland Preservation Plan (FPP) and updates for our recertification can be found at the following link:

<https://plandev.countyofdane.com/planning/Farmland-Preservation-Plan>

1. Do you work in the agriculture field? (Farming, Implement Dealer, Etc)

Yes

No

2. What organization are you affiliated with? If none, please leave blank.

Dane County is one of Wisconsin's fast growing urban centers, but it also leads the state in most categories of agricultural production, with a total market value of Dane County Agriculture being over 500 million dollars. Below you can see the data from the 2017 Agriculture Census that showcases all of Dane County's agricultural ventures and their valuation, and state and national rank.

Economically significant crops

Values of Sales By Commodity Group	Market Value (in \$ 1,000)	State Rank	US Rank	US Percentiles
Other animals and other animal products	Undisclosed	23	Undisclosed	0.00
Milk and other dairy products from cows	230,212	4	25	98.68
Sheep, goats, and their products	580	20	294	90.15
Grains, oilseeds, dry beans, and dry peas	151,584	1	172	94.10
Cut Christmas trees and short rotation woody crops	980	5	42	96.97
Cattle and calves	79,037	2	170	94.44
Nursery, greenhouse, floriculture, and sod	14,170	5	186	92.85
Horses, ponies, mules, burros, and donkeys	777	3	257	91.35
Vegetables, melons, potatoes, and sweet potatoes	7,705	13	255	90.96
Other crops and hay	8,141	8	314	89.67
Fruits, tree nuts, and berries	1,578	16	367	86.64
Hogs and pigs	10,547	3	369	87.08
Aquaculture	Undisclosed	10	Undisclosed	0.00
Tobacco	856	1	168	47.99
Poultry and eggs	2,143	23	694	76.92
Cotton and cottonseed	-	-	-	-
Total	509,073	1	97	96.85

3. The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for **farming communities in Dane County**. Please rate each.

Strongly Agree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Disagree Strongly Disagree Unsure

Dane County's farming communities should be low density residential	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dane County's farming communities should promote more non-agriculture commercial development	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dane County's farming communities should promote the permanent preservation of farmland	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dane County's					

farming communities should restrict policies to better guide growth

Farming is an important part of the future of Dane County's farming communities

Agricultural businesses should be promoted in Dane County's farming communities

Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for a limited amount of commercial development

Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential development are a problem in Dane County's farming communities

Land use conflicts between commercial and agricultural development is a problem in Dane County's farming communities

Housing affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities

It is important to have coordinated land use plans with surrounding communities

Farmland affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities

Dane County's farming communities should pursue High Speed Internet Service.

Dane County should be using taxpayer

dollars to preserve
farmland and natural
resources

Nonmetallic mining
should be allowed in
Dane County's
farming
communities

Existing agricultural
buildings can be
used for non
agricultural
purposes
(landscaping
companies, barn
venues, RV storage,
etc.)

4. How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

- Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development
- Unsure

5. How do you feel about the future of farming in Dane County over the next 20 years? Please Explain:

6. What do you want to know about the Farmland Preservation Plan, and/or farming in Dane County?

Q1 Do you work in the agriculture field? (Farming, Implement Dealer, Etc)

Answered: 4 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	4
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		4

Q2 What organization are you affiliated with? If none, please leave blank.

Answered: 4 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Groundswell Conservancy	7/7/2022 5:01 PM
2	Yahara Pride Farms	6/30/2022 9:41 PM
3	Dane County Cities & Villages Association	6/16/2022 10:00 AM
4	Redford Farm	6/14/2022 2:43 PM

Q3 The following are several statements that suggest choices about future directions for farming communities in Dane County. Please rate each.

Answered: 4 Skipped: 0

Farmland Preservation Stakeholder Group Survey

	STRONGLY AGREE	SOMEWHAT AGREE	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	UNSURE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Dane County's farming communities should be low density residential	75.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	4	2.00
Dane County's farming communities should promote more non-agriculture commercial development	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	75.00% 3	25.00% 1	4	4.25
Most new development should occur adjacent to areas which are already developed	25.00% 1	50.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	4	2.50
Dane County's farming communities should promote the permanent preservation of farmland	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	4	2.25
Dane County's farming communities should restrict policies to better guide growth	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.75
Farming is an important part of the future of Dane County's farming communities	50.00% 2	50.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.50
Agricultural businesses should be promoted in Dane County's farming communities	50.00% 2	50.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.50
Farmers and other rural landowners should be able to sell their land for a limited amount of commercial development	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	75.00% 3	4	4.75
Land use conflicts between agriculture and residential development are a problem in Dane County's farming communities	50.00% 2	50.00% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.50
Land use conflicts between commercial and agricultural development is a problem in Dane County's farming communities	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.75
Housing affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities	50.00% 2	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	4	2.25
It is important to have coordinated land use plans with surrounding communities	25.00% 1	75.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.75
Farmland affordability is a growing problem in Dane County's farming communities	75.00% 3	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.25
Dane County's farming communities should pursue High Speed Internet Service.	75.00% 3	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4	1.25
Dane County should be using taxpayer dollars to preserve	75.00% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	4	2.00

Farmland Preservation Stakeholder Group Survey

farmland and natural resources

Nonmetallic mining should be allowed in Dane County's farming communities	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	0.00% 0	50.00% 2	4	3.75
Existing agricultural buildings can be used for non agricultural purposes (landscaping companies, barn venues, RV storage, etc.)	0.00% 0	50.00% 2	0.00% 0	25.00% 1	25.00% 1	4	3.25

Q4 How would you rate efforts of the County to guide where development occurs?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Too much planning, policies and ordinances directing development	25.00%	1
About the right amount of planning, policies and ordinances directing development	25.00%	1
Not enough planning, policies and ordinances directing development	25.00%	1
Unsure	25.00%	1
TOTAL	4	

Q5 How do you feel about the future of farming in Dane County over the next 20 years? Please Explain:

Answered: 3 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Development is spreading out in all directions. Residential subdivisions are taking away farmland. If we keep going the current direction we're on, we will have problem producing enough food for our community.	7/7/2022 5:01 PM
2	Agriculture is strong is Dane County	6/16/2022 10:00 AM
3	Christiana Township is being a target to many corporations and solar development will harm agriculture.	6/14/2022 2:43 PM

Q6 What do you want to know about the Farmland Preservation Plan, and/or farming in Dane County?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Does the plan include farming succession? With the average age of farmers and inevitable ethnic demographic changes, we need to make sure those who want to be farmers can afford to do so. And there are programs accessible for the farmers to be successful -- does the plan look at dismantling/overcoming barriers to farming?	7/7/2022 5:01 PM
2	More information	6/16/2022 10:00 AM
3	We know that our farms are in danger.	6/14/2022 2:43 PM