

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE MARCH 21, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Clausius, Speer, Cheeks

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hesselbein

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT:

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hochkammer, Hyer, and Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Earnestine Moss, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m.

Election of officers was before the committee. Supv. Clausius nominated Supv. Hendrick for chair of the subcommittee. There were no other nominations. Nominations were closed and Supv. Hendrick was elected chair of the subcommittee on a 5-0 vote.

Supv. Hendrick nominated Supv. McDonell as vice chair. There were no other nominations and a unanimous ballot was cast for Supv. McDonell.

There was no public comment.

An overview of County Board redistricting and timeline was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield, Legislative Services Director of the County Board, provided an overview. He discussed the process and schedule for redistricting.

The subcommittee discussed 'communities of interest' and how this concept could be defined. Supv. Hendrick asked about whether maps of various communities of interest, such as neighborhood association boundaries, would be available. Tim indicated that there could be consideration of neighborhood associations, school boundaries, and zip codes and phone exchanges, among other groupings. Discussion ensued regarding indicators of communities of interest outside the City of Madison. Supv. Clausius indicated that Sun Prairie does not have a strong system of neighborhood associations, although there are housing developments that have their own identity. There was discussion regarding whether this is an analogous entity to a neighborhood association. Supv. Hendrick suggested that local communities help in the determination of community of interest.

Supv. Miles arrived.

Discussion of preliminary census data was before the subcommittee. Tim distributed a table with municipal population comparisons between 2000 and 2010, as well as information regarding district size given the new county population of 488,073. For example, if the Board were to retain 37 districts, each district would have 13,191 people. With 35 districts, the population per district increases to 13,945.

Discussion ensued regarding the appropriate number of districts for the Dane County Board. Supv. Hendrick requested staff begin drafting maps with 37 districts. Supv. McDonell requested a couple examples of maps with a 35-member board, to see if there is a good fit of population and geography at that number. He said it was important to consider the number of people per committee when thinking about Board size. If the number of districts is cut considerably, then members need to serve on more committees and the workload

increases. Supv. McDonell expressed a strong belief in a citizen legislature, where the cost of the campaign is not out of reach of individuals. Others on the subcommittee agreed. Supv. Miles said that they had heard at the Wisconsin Counties Association redistricting training that the benefits of decreasing the size of the board are not there, and he believes having a manageable workload allows for people at different stages of their lives to serve.

Other attributes of board size were discussed. Supv. McDonell noted that, with a higher number of districts, it is easier to develop a minority district and a student district. Supv. Hendrick pointed out that research shows that smaller boards tend to vote to spend more money.

Tim distributed information regarding the population of some specific districts as examples of how the district population has changed in 2011. He indicated that in 2001, the goal of the redistricting process was a deviation of +/- 3% in the size of districts (that is, that districts have a population within 3% of the population of 11,528). The greater the deviation, the easier it is to build a map. However, to stay true to the goal of one person/one vote, the maps should have as little deviation as possible, so that each supervisor represents the same number of people. If there is deviation, there should be justification for it.

Reviewing growth in various parts of the county compared to the current district structure prompted general discussion of the challenges of redistricting. Supv. Miles brought up the question of the towns that will be annexed over the next 10 years, including the towns of Madison, Blooming Grove, and Burke. Discussion ensued.

Supv. Hendrick asked staff to contact the Department of Planning and Development for information about unincorporated hamlets and the mapping of those communities. He wondered if that information could be programmed into the redistricting software.

There was brief discussion regarding the web-based software and data for mapping. Each county will receive a password to the data. It is unclear whether maps, other than official county maps, can be saved with other passwords. Staff will learn more about this.

Planning future meetings was before the subcommittee. The members decided to meet at 6:30 on Wednesday, April 6. Supv. Hendrick indicated that a resolution referred to the subcommittee would be on the agenda. Staff will also bring maps with the 2011 population in each of the current 37 districts.

There was no other business before the subcommittee.

Motion by Clausius/McDonell to adjourn. Motion carried, 6-0, and the committee adjourned at 7:57 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

2011 County Board Redistricting Issues

1. PROCESS

- Who draws and produces the maps?
 - County staff team (Office of the County Board, Land Information Office, Planning and Development, Land and Water Resources), based on guidance from the Subcommittee and Executive Committee
- Oversight of redistricting process
 - Executive Committee and Redistricting Subcommittee
- Schedule
 - March: determine district size, identify other issues
 - April 1: census data arrives, mapping begins
within 60 days of data arriving, tentative map must be approved (by 7/1)
 - April/May: subcommittee and Executive Committee review and refine maps
 - Early May: tentative plan finalized, Board holds public hearing on tentative plan, tentative plan revised if need be
 - May 19th: County Board approves tentative plan
 - June/July: municipal review of tentative plan, ward boundaries drawn to accommodate supervisory districts (60 days from receipt of tentative plan)
 - August/September: Subcommittee and Executive Committee resolve municipal issues, develop final plan
 - Late September: Board holds public hearing on final plan, modifies if needed
 - October 6th: Board approval of final map (60 days after municipalities act)

2. NUMBER OF DISTRICTS

- Population of districts
- Geography of districts
- Board organization and functioning (committee structure, etc.)
- Electoral issues (ie. competition, etc.)
- Cost

2011 Redistricting-Municipal Population Comparison

<u>Municipality</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>
<u>Villages</u>		
Belleville	1795	1848
Black Earth	1320	1338
Blue Mounds	708	855
Brooklyn	502	936
Cambridge	1014	1348
Cottage Grove	4059	6192
Cross Plains	3084	3538
Dane	799	995
Deerfield	1971	2319
DeForest	7368	8936
Maple Bluff	1358	1313
Marshall	3432	3862
Mazomanie	1485	1652
McFarland	6416	7808
Mt. Horeb	5860	7009
Oregon	7514	9231
Rockdale	214	214
Shorewood Hills	1732	1565
Waunakee	8995	12097
Edgerton	7	97
<u>Cities</u>		
Fitchburg	20501	25260
Madison	208054	233209
Middleton	15770	17442
Monona	8018	7533
Stoughton	12354	12611
Sun Prairie	20369	29364
Verona	7052	10619

<u>town</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2010</u>
Albion	1858	1951
Berry	1084	1127
Black Earth	449	483
Blooming Grove	1768	1815
Blue Mounds	842	968
Bristol	2698	3765
Burke	2990	3284
Christiana	1313	1235
Cottage Grove	3839	3875
Cross Plains	1419	1507
Dane	968	990
Deerfield	1971	1585
Dunkirk	2053	1945
Dunn	5270	4931
Madison	7005	6279
Mazomanie	1185	1090
Medina	1235	1376
Middleton	4594	5877
Montrose	1134	1081
Oregon	3148	3184
Perry	670	729
Pleasant Springs	3053	3154
Primrose	682	731
Roxbury	1700	1794
Rutland	1887	1966
Sprindale	1530	1904
Springfield	2762	2734
Sun Prairie	2308	2326
Vermont	839	819
Verona	2153	1948
Vienna	1294	1482
Wesport	3586	3950
Windsor	5286	6345
York	703	652

<u># of Dist's</u>	<u>2010 Population</u>	<u>Dist. Size</u>
37	488,073	13,191
36		13,558
35		13,945
34		14,355
33		14,790
32		15,252
31		15,744
30		16,269
29		16,830
28		17,431
27		18,077
26		18,772
25		19,523
24		20,336
23		21,221
22		22,185
21		23,242
20		24,404
19		25,688
18		27,115
17		28,710
16		30,505
15		32,538
14		34,862
13		37,544

2011 Redistricting - Preliminary Comparison of 2001 and 2011 district populations

		<u>2001</u>	<u>2011</u>	
	Size w/ 37 dists.	11528	13191	5% = 660 (12531 to 13851)
D. 22	DeForest	7386	8936	
	Windsor (W 2-7)	4305 (W1=963)	6345 *	
		11691	15281	
D. 32	T-Verona	2153	1948	1948
	C-Verona	7052	10619	10619
	V-Belleville	1795	1848	
	T-Montrose (W2)	582 (W1=552)	1081	
		11582	15496	12567
D.30	T-Blue Mounds	842	968	
	V-Blue Mounds	708	855	
	T-Montrose	552 (W1)	1081	
	V-Mt. Horeb	5860	7009	
	T-Perry	670	729	
	T-Primrose	682	731	
	T-Vermont	839	819	
	T-Springdale	1530	1904	
		11683	14096	
D. 31	T-Oregon	3148	3184	
	V-Oregon	7514	9231	
	V-Brooklyn	502	936	
		11164	13351	
D. 25	Waunakee	8995	12097	
	Westport (w-2-4)	2884 (W1=702)	3950 (all)	
		11879	16047	
D. 37	V-Cambridge	1014	1348	
	T-Christiana	1313	1235	
	T-Deerfield	1470	1585	
	V-Deerfield	1971	2319	
	V-Marshall	3432	3862	
	T-Medina	1235	1376	
	V-Rockdale	214	214	
	T-York	703	652	
		11352	12591	
	T-Cottage Grove	3839	3875	
	V-Cottage Grove	4059	6192	
		7898	10067	
	Stoughton	12354	12611	
	Fitchburg	20501	25260	
	Sun Prairie	20369	29364	
	Middleton	15770	17442	

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE APRIL 6, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Hesselbein

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Clausius

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hochkammer, Hyer, and Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m.

There was no public comment.

A review and update of County Board redistricting issues and timeline was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield, Legislative Services Director of the County Board, addressed the committee. He said that the letter with the passwords for the data arrived on March 29th, but was dated March 21st. Because the county has 60 days to draw supervisory maps, the maps must be done by May 20th. Municipalities will then act in May, June, and July to draw the ward boundaries. The Redistricting Subcommittee and the Executive Committee will then resolve municipal issues and develop the final plan in July, August, and September. In late September the County Board will hold a public hearing on the final plan and make modifications if necessary. By the Board meeting on October 6th, the County Board will approve the final map.

Discussion ensued regarding the step involving municipalities drawing ward boundaries. It was noted that Madison has begun the process of drawing their ward boundaries. The redistricting process requires the county to first draw supervisory districts, so the county will proceed until it needs to resolve any differences. Municipalities will be invited to the county's public hearing on the tentative plan, and there will be open communication throughout the process. There was brief discussion of coterminous districts with the City of Madison, but this would require increasing the size of the board.

Supv. Hendrick provided an update regarding the Dane County Cities and Villages Association input on redistricting. He attended a meeting of the association and indicated that if a municipality needs to be divided then the community should be consulted as soon as possible. The association indicated that it was more important to keep a municipality whole than to be exact on population of a district. Sun Prairie expressed an interest in having 2 districts rather than 3. Discussion ensued regarding the population of Sun Prairie being too large for 2 district alone unless the Board chose to have as few as 31 districts.

Discussion of preliminary census data was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield distributed a table with current districts and 2010 census population. The table showed where growth has occurred.

The subcommittee discussed the definition of community of interest. Supv. McDonell pointed out that a community of interest can have many meanings, such as an ethnic group like the Hmong community, or a village, or a neighborhood association. Ms. Speer suggested that County Board members be asked what communities of interest are in their districts. Supv. Richmond pointed out that the current map divides several communities of interest in Fitchburg, including school attendance patterns. Tim pointed out that one needs to accommodate the election process as part of the equation as well.

The subcommittee agreed that Supv. Hendrick should work with staff to draft three memos: 1) one to County Board members to seek advice regarding communities of interest in their current district; 2) one to

cities which will need to be divided to ask for their input on where to divide; and 3) one to the Dane County Towns Association to ask for their input. Supv. McDonell expressed an interest in creating a few heavily town districts, possibly one in each quadrant.

The subcommittee's preliminary recommendation on the number of districts was before the subcommittee.

- Motion by Hesselbein/McDonell that the redistricting subcommittee directs staff to draft maps of 35 supervisory districts for the subcommittee to review.
- Motion by Speer/Cheeks to amend the motion to include 37 supervisory districts as well. This was considered a friendly amendment. The motion reads:

"The redistricting subcommittee directs staff to draft maps of 35 supervisory districts and 37 supervisory districts for the subcommittee to review."

Discussion ensued. The subcommittee noted that the map drafters do not need to draw down to the fine detail and both Tim and Laura Guyer should draft maps. Motion carried, 6-0.

Supv. Richmond questioned why the subcommittee was not considering a smaller number of districts. Supv. Hendrick pointed out that fewer districts does not lead to more competitive races, does not save money, and smaller boards tend to spend more. The workload is greater for small boards, and supervisors need to be paid more. Supv. Miles underscored the interest in a citizen legislature and allowing people in all walks of life to run. Mr. Hochkammer noted that the Board itself would need to change structurally and that there did not seem to be a push to do so on the Board. Discussion ensued regarding the efficiency of the current structure and of Board meetings themselves.

Supv. Hendrick asked about access to the WISE-LR maps. Tim Saterfield indicated that the county has been allocated a total of 75 maps, but some of those may need to be reserved for ward maps by municipalities. He has sought clarification from the state. Supv. Hendrick requested that committee members be provided access to the maps if possible in order to inform the debate at the next meeting.

Ms. Speer pointed out that there will be a release of open source software for mapping on April 18th.

There was no other business before the subcommittee. Supv. Hendrick announced that the next meeting will be on Wednesday, April 13th at 6:30 p.m.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to adjourn. Motion carried, 6-0, and the committee adjourned at 7:43 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE APRIL 13, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, and Hesselbein

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hochkammer and Hyer

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Jeremy Levin, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Approval of minutes of the March 21, 2011 and April 6, 2011 meetings was before the committee. Motion by Hesselbein/Speer to approve the minutes of the two meetings. Motion carried, 6-0.

Review and update of County Board redistricting issues and timeline were before the committee. Tim Saterfield provided an update. He learned that Dane County is allowed 75 maps, but that figure includes all ward plans for all municipalities. Discussion ensued. If staff only refine the three presented at the meeting, then they would only use three. Ms. Speer suggested that perhaps Dane County could ask for an additional allocation. Supv. Hendrick asked about the timing of the public hearing on the map. Tim replied that a hearing in the first week of May would allow time to make revisions based on input so the map could be ready for the May 19th County Board meeting. Supv. Hesselbein indicated that the City of Madison has scheduled May 10, 11, and 12 as input sessions for their maps.

Discussion of preliminary census data and review of preliminary maps was before the committee. Tim and Laura Guyer had prepared three draft maps, two with 37 districts and one with 35 districts. Tim distributed data sheets for each of the three draft maps, detailing total population, minority population, deviation from the ideal district size, and deviation percentage. If there are 35 districts, then each district will have 800 more people than if there are 37 districts. Tim pointed out challenge areas in the maps:

- How to divide Sun Prairie into three districts; or – for the 35-district map, divide it in two but with great deviation from the ideal size;
- Waunakee alone is not quite big enough for one district; how should Westport be divided?
- Is it better to divide the towns surrounding Stoughton, which allows less deviation from the ideal district size, or leave them whole?
- Should Fitchburg be two or three districts?
- It is difficult to construct the Monona district with no population from the City of Madison;
- How do Mt. Horeb, Blue Mounds, and Springdale work together?

Supv. McDonell noted that each of the two maps with 37 districts had 5 rural districts around the edge of the county.

Members of the subcommittee reviewed each map.

Discussion ensued. Supv. Hendrick indicated he would rather see higher deviations and have compact districts that keep the neighborhoods together. Members discussed incumbency and how the maps treated current members.

Supv. Hendrick asked how members felt about continuing work on the 35 district map. Supv. Clausius indicated that he saw potential with that map if some of the problem areas were addressed. Supv. Hendrick suggested Supv. Clausius work with staff to identify needed changes, and that the next map try for a deviation of 4.9% or less and address the location of incumbents. Discussion ensued. Mr. Cheeks wondered if it were possible to address the high deviation figures in the 35-member map. Supv. Hendrick indicated that division of municipalities may be necessary. Tim agreed that the deviations could be addressed, but would require splitting municipalities.

Discussion of the 35-district map continued. Supv. Miles believed it was more appropriate to split a growing community like Sun Prairie into 3 districts than to divide a community that is not growing. Supv. Hesselbein indicated that she did not like the 35-district map as well as either of the 37-district versions.

Motion by McDonell/Clausius to direct staff to draft one more version of a map with 35 districts, attempting to bring deviations inside 5% and trying to keep communities together. Motion carried, 7-0.

The subcommittee turned its attention to the 37-district maps. Supv. Hendrick indicated that he really liked both maps and would like Laura and Tim to refine each of them, again trying to get the deviations under 5% and not divide communities into 3 or 4 districts. Mr. Cheeks asked members to identify the things they liked about the maps. Supv. Hendrick said he likes two districts in Fitchburg, likes keeping the town and city of Verona together, likes keeping the town and city of Oregon together, and likes the concept of a rural district on the eastern side of the county, but that it needed work. Supv. Clausius likes that map 37A only split Westport in two districts; he likes the Waunakee/Westport configuration in that map.

Discussion ensued regarding the districts that are long and thin because of the airport and East Towne Mall – district 12, 18, 3, and 16. Supv. Hendrick said he did not like district 3 in map 37B because it was all outside of the interstate. Supv. Clausius requested that, if there are three Sun Prairie districts, at least one be all Sun Prairie. There was some discussion of district 21 and territory that will be annexed into Sun Prairie.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to direct staff to refine both maps 37A and 37B based on the comments made during the meeting. Motion carried, 7-0.

Such other business as allowed by law was before the committee. Ms. Speer requested the subcommittee revisit the minutes approved at the beginning of the meeting.

Motion by McDonell/Clausius to revisit the minutes of the April 6th meeting. Motion carried, 7-0.

Motion by Speer/Hesselbein to amend the minutes at the end of the second paragraph to reflect the discussion of coterminous districts. (The minutes currently read “There was brief discussion of coterminous districts with the City of Madison, but this would require increasing the size of the board.” After this sentence, add “Tim indicated that, since there are more people living outside the City than within it, the board would need to have 41 members if the districts in Madison were coterminous with the City aldermanic districts.”) Motion to amend the minutes carried, 7-0.

Motion by Hesselbein/Speer to approve the minutes as amended. Motion carried, 7-0.

There was no other business before the subcommittee. Supv. Hendrick announced that the next meeting will be on Thursday, April 21st at noon.

Motion by Hesselbein/Hendrick to adjourn. Motion carried, 7-0, and the committee adjourned at 8:04 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE APRIL 21, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, and Hesselbein

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hochkammer and Richmond

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hyer

OTHERS PRESENT: Jeremy Levin, Chuck Erickson, Robin Schmidt, Brian Grady, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m.

Public comment was before the committee.

Eugene Hahn, Madison, addressed the committee. He indicated that the City of Madison provides maps on its website. Mr. Hahn noted that the minutes of the county committee indicate that three maps were presented at the last meeting, but they are not available on the website. He expressed concern that citizens are unable to review the maps and provide commentary.

Approval of minutes of the April 13, 2011 meeting was before the committee. Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to approve the minutes. Motion carried, 7-0.

Review and update of County Board redistricting issues and timeline were before the committee. Tim Saterfield provided an update. He said the timing remained the same. He spoke with clerks in the towns of Burke, Madison, and Blooming Grove to learn how annexation may affect the redistricting process. Only the annexation of Blooming Grove by the City of Madison will take place within the next 10 years; the other annexations are further in the future.

Discussion of preliminary census data and review of preliminary maps was before the committee. Tim and Laura Guyer had each refined a map with 37 districts. Tim pointed out that he twice tried to draw a map with 35 districts, but the deviations were too high.

Tim distributed a data sheet for draft map 37A, detailing total population, minority population, deviation from the ideal district size, and deviation percentage. He discussed the attributes of map 37A:

- The districts in the southeast corner of the county are challenging and have higher deviations;
- Fitchburg is split in two and Sun Prairie in three districts; one Sun Prairie district is wholly within the City and the other two each have about 8,000 of City population;
- The shapes of the downtown Madison districts are pretty much the same;
- The town of Montrose is split on the river and the deviation is 2%;
- The Town of Middleton is split in two along existing ward boundaries.

Laura Guyer provided an overview of map 37B:

- Montrose and Westport are each split;
- The Madison town islands are all kept together in district 15;
- Sun Prairie is split in 3 districts, with district 21 having only a small part of the City and districts 19 and 17 being mostly City population;

- District numbers have moved from the current map.

Discussion ensued. Supv. Richmond asked about the deviation of the two Fitchburg districts for each map (4% for each district in map 37B; 3% and 2% for the districts in map 37A). Supv. Clausius wondered about whether the maps had incumbents in the same districts. Laura said that incumbents were in the same district for districts 20 and 22, a 1 and 5. Tim pointed out that incumbents live in the same districts for 13, 16, and 29.

Members of the subcommittee reviewed each map.

Discussion ensued. Supv. Hendrick asked for questions from members. Jon Hochkammer asked to see a map of where incumbents live and how the district maps treat current members. Supv. Hendrick said staff will send members a .pdf file with this information. Laura said she has mapped the addresses and can provide that information.

Supv. McDonell said he would like the redistricting information, including the information on incumbency, on the website. He believes redistricting should be one of the postcard-sized topics on the front page of the county's website. Supv. Hesselbein expressed interest in posting the data sets for each map as well.

Supv. Hendrick asked how the subcommittee felt about the map with 35 districts.

Motion by Miles/McDonell to direct staff to discontinue working on the 35-district map. Motion carried, 7-0.

The subcommittee turned its attention to the 37-district maps. Supv. Hendrick indicated that he liked the approach to Montrose on map 37A, and also the way the Westport/Waunakee district was drawn. Supv. McDonell indicated that he liked map 37B particularly regarding the City of Sun Prairie and the number of rural districts. Supv. Clausius agreed and also noted that map 37B had the town of Burke in one district. Supv. Hendrick said he liked that 37B tried to keep the towns together. Supv. Hesselbein liked how district 9 was drawn in map 37B.

Motion by McDonell/Hesselbein to direct staff to refine map 37B, keeping in mind the location of incumbents, and taking into account the comments made during the meeting. Discussion ensued. Supv. Hendrick expressed interest in additional refinement of map 37A as well so the subcommittee would have two maps to review at the next meeting. Supv. Hendrick made a friendly amendment, accepted by McDonell/Hesselbein, to include continued work on map 37A. The motion to direct staff to refine both maps 37A and 37B carried, 7-0.

Supv. Hendrick asked for any other comments. Supv. Clausius indicated he may provide additional comments in writing. Supv. Hesselbein thanked Tim and Laura for their work.

Such other business as allowed by law was before the committee. Ms. Speer wondered if a second public hearing at a location outside the City of Madison may be possible. Supv. Hendrick indicated he would take it under advisement, but that Statutes require a public hearing.

Supv. Hendrick announced that the next meeting will be on Wednesday, April 27th at 6 p.m.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to adjourn. Motion carried, 7-0, and the committee adjourned at 1:09 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE APRIL 27, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, and Hesselbein

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hendrick

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hochkammer, Hyer and Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Jeremy Levin, Chuck Erickson, Eileen Bruskewitz, Matt Veldran, Bob Salov, Tom Stoebig, Dave deFelice, Ronn Ferrell, Kurt Schlicht, Jack Martz, Gene Hahn, Mary Jo Walters, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. McDonell called the meeting to order at 6:08 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Approval of minutes of the April 21, 2011 meeting was before the committee. Motion by Speer/Cheeks to approve the minutes. Motion carried, 7-0.

Review and update of County Board redistricting issues and timeline were before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield reported that there are no changes in the timeline.

Review of preliminary district maps, recommendation for additional refinements, and possible recommendation on a tentative plan was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield described maps 37A and 37B and the broad population changes that influenced how the maps were drawn. Copies of the two maps and the data sheets were distributed to members of the subcommittee and interested audience members and are also available on the county's website.

Mary Jo Walters, 137 Corry St, Madison expressed an interest in the boundaries of the neighborhood associations being shown on the county redistricting maps. Tim responded that the neighborhood associations were considered in drawing the districts.

Eugene Hahn, 514 Maple Ave., Madison asked for clarification regarding the how the draft maps treat the Town of Blooming Grove and whether annexation of the town was considered. Tim responded that the town is in 2 districts in the draft maps, as is currently the case, and both maps accommodate annexation.

Several members of the County Board were in attendance and had questions about the maps.

- Supv. deFelice wondered why the deviation for his area was so large. Tim pointed out that there is a lot of territory to get to the population needed, and that neighborhood associations in the area were large in population.
- Supv. Stoebig wondered if ward boundaries in the City of Madison largely follow neighborhood associations. Tim indicated that this is largely true, or the wards are bigger than one association.
- Supv. Martz wondered about boundaries that run down the center of streets and wanted the final map to include street names if possible.
- Supv. Miles pointed out that, in map 37B, there is a sliver of the City of Madison that appears to be in district 34 and he believes that should be changed. He also requested that staff note the neighborhood associations that are split.

- Supv. Veldran asked if the changes to district 7 were due to population growth. Tim pointed out that there was considerable growth in neighboring district 14, prompting changes in district 7.
- Supv. Schlicht expressed concern about the town of Roxbury being removed from district 28. Water is the community of interest in the district, and Roxbury has Fish and Crystal lakes. Supv. Hesselbein asked where the children in Roxbury attend school. Supv. Schlicht indicated that children go to school in Sauk County.

Supv. McDonell had to leave, and Supv. Clausius chaired the remainder of the meeting. Discussion ensued among subcommittee members regarding the maps. Supv. Clausius asked what would happen if Roxbury were in with district 28. Tim pointed out that then 29 would be short, and spoke of the relationship between districts 31, 30, 28, and 29.

Supv. Richmond pointed out the minority population in the two Fitchburg districts for each map, and indicated that he liked the configuration in map 37B better because, in that map, the district is majority minority.

Discussion ensued. Supv. Clausius indicated he liked map 37A except for Fitchburg. Supv. Miles expressed a preference for 37B because the deviation numbers are smaller and that will allow more room for making changes.

- Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to direct staff to prepare Map 37B for public hearing, with the understanding that after the public hearing, staff will refine the map to put Roxbury in district 28 if possible within a 5 percent deviation, and will refine district 34 to exclude any of the City of Madison territory. Motion carried unanimously.

The subcommittee discussed options for district 28. Tim asked if it would be acceptable to split the town and village of Cross Plains. There was not interest in doing so.

The subcommittee discussed an area in Fitchburg and which of the two Fitchburg districts it would best belong in.

Planning for a public hearing on the tentative plan was before the subcommittee. Discussion ensued whether the plan should be refined before further public review. Supv. Hesselbein pointed out that the map would be further refined based on comments during the public hearing, so – given staffing constraints in the next week – it would make sense to present map 37 B as is. Supv. Richmond agreed.

Motion by Speer/Hesselbein for the redistricting subcommittee to hold a meeting to gather input on Map 37B in Sun Prairie on May 4th, to recommend the County Board hold the public hearing on Monday May 9 on Map 37B, and that the Executive Committee and Redistricting Subcommittee meet on May 11th to consider the public input on the plan. Motion carried, 4-0.

Motion by Hesselbein/Cheeks to adjourn. Motion carried, 4-0, and the committee adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE MAY 4, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hendrick, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, and Hesselbein

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: McDonell

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hyer

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hochkammer and Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Dennis O'Loughlin, Dave Wiganowsky, Charles Mueller, Zachary Weber, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Approval of minutes of the April 27, 2011 meeting was before the subcommittee. Motion by Hesselbein/Miles to approve the minutes with the following amendment: On page 2, paragraph 3, specify that the additional majority minority district would be district 4. The sentence would read, "Supv. Richmond pointed out the minority population in the two Fitchburg districts for each map, and indicated that he liked the configuration in map 27B better because, in that map, District 4 is majority minority." Motion carried, 5-0.

Timeline of redistricting was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield provided an overview of the timeline for the entire process.

Overview of Tentative Plan 36B was before the subcommittee. Tim described the map, pointing out that each district should have a population of 13,191, or over 1,500 more people than when districts were drawn 10 years ago. He spoke of the areas that experienced the most growth and the approach to try to keep the core districts the same.

Tim provided information regarding communities that have expressed concerns. Stoughton has a few town islands within the district as it is drafted, as does DeForest; the Middleton golf course should be in district 9 rather than district 29; and there is an issue with a ward boundary in Westport. At the last Redistricting Subcommittee meeting, there was discussion of seeing if the Town of Roxbury could fit in district 28. Tim reported that this would require dividing the Town of Blue Mounds. He will bring a map that shows this to the next subcommittee meeting.

Public comments and testimony of the proposed redistricting plan was before the subcommittee.

Charles Mueller, 140 W. Kohler St., Sun Prairie WI 53590 addressed the subcommittee. He referenced the Wisconsin State Journal editorial regarding the size of the County Board and urged the subcommittee not to cut the number of districts in half.

Zachary Weber, 300 East Main Street, Sun Prairie addressed the subcommittee. He distributed a document from the City Clerk, Diane Hermann-Brown, which detailed concerns with districts 17, 19, and 21. Mr. Weber indicated that neighborhoods are split by the map as currently drawn and suggested approaches to change the districts, including possibly splitting Sun Prairie along Bird Street.

Discussion ensued regarding the Sun Prairie districts. Supv. Hesselbein wondered about the deviation if the suggested changes were made. One district would have 14,291 people and that is too high a deviation. Mr. Weber asked that the boundaries not split neighborhoods, and indicated that staff should work with Diane Hermann-Brown when exploring alternatives.

Supv. O'Loughlin addressed the subcommittee. He indicated that map 37B separated him from his district, district 22, but could be corrected by making a small change to the map to capture about 15 homes, including his own.

Supv. Wiganowsky addressed the subcommittee. He pointed out that map 37B has three districts with no incumbent, and three districts with 2 incumbents. Supv. Wiganowsky pointed out that district 21 includes 2 cities and a town, all of which are usually at odds, making it a challenging district to represent.

Such other business as allowed by law was before the subcommittee.

Supv. Hendrick indicated that a supervisor had asked how to get the committee to consider a map of 19 districts. He pointed out that staff would only draft such a map at the direction of the Redistricting Subcommittee. Discussion ensued.

Tim wondered if the subcommittee wanted to set the joint meeting with the Executive Committee for both May 11th and May 12th to be sure to have time to consider changes to the map. Supv. Hendrick agreed and the meetings will be held at 7:30 p.m. on those dates, with the one for May 12th held if needed.

The subcommittee directed staff to coordinate with the Sun Prairie City Clerk in generating alternate maps for the area, and to consider the location of neighborhoods when drafting alternatives. The subcommittee will consider these options at their meeting on May 11th.

Tim indicated that staff will develop a list of comments from the two public meetings on and from municipal clerks, so the subcommittee can consider each comment and whether to make changes at their meeting.

Motion by Hesselbein/Cheeks to adjourn. Motion carried, 6-0, and the committee adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**JOINT MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
AND THE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE MAY 11, 2011 MEETING

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Levin, Ripp, and Bayrd

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hampton

REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hendrick, McDonell, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, and Hesselbein

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Richmond and Hyer

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hochkammer

OTHERS PRESENT: Dennis O'Loughlin, Tom Stoebig, Chuck Erickson, Zachary Weber, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the joint meeting of the Executive Committee and the Redistricting Subcommittee to order at 7:32 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Approval of minutes of the May 4, 2011 redistricting subcommittee meeting was before the subcommittee. Motion by Cheeks/Miles to approve the minutes with the following amendment: On page 1, paragraphs 3 and 5, the map number should be "37B" rather than "27B" and "36B" respectively. Motion carried, 7-0.

Review and approve amendments to tentative plan 37B was before the committee and subcommittee. Supv. Hendrick indicated that there were a number of changes requested at the public hearing and all but two were included in Map 37B version 5. He expected discussion of amendments to make the two changes not included to be offered at the meeting. First Laura Guyer reviewed the changes made, pointing out that some slivers remain to be considered.

The following is a list of changes that were made to 37B v4, resulting in version 5:

1. D. 7/27 – moved "Home Depot" parcel (west of Verona Road, immediately south of the beltline) from D. 27 to D. 7 (requested by Supervisor Veldran).
2. D. 35/37 – cleaned up Stoughton area so that all of Dunkirk is in D. 37, all of Town of Pleasant Springs in D. 36, and D. 35 has only Stoughton (requested by the City of Stoughton).
3. D. 9/29 – Moved Pleasant View Golf Course and adjacent parcels from D. 29 to D. 9, West of Pleasant View Road and north of Blackhawk, this area is within the City of Middleton boundaries but was shown in D. 29 in the map (requested by City of Middleton).
4. D. 16/21/3/6 – attached area south of CTH T, near Badger Interchange, between Reiner Rd. and I 39/90 to D. 3 (identified by staff). Also moved 21 south to Interstate, thereby eliminating the division of a subdivision (as requested by the City).

5. D. 10/11 – swapped district numbers (identified by staff).
6. D. 3/17 – swapped district numbers (requested by Supervisor Stoebig).
7. D. 18/26 – area along Westport Road near it's entry to Madison and along STH 113 near CTH M, mapped into D. 18, moved to D. 25 (Westport requested that this area, along with other small town parcels around Cherokee Marsh be placed in D 26. Staff accidentally went with 25).
8. D. 15/9 – adjusted boundaries of Ward 110 to place it all within D. 9 (requested by Supervisor Ferrell).
9. D. 14/7 – adjusted two census blocks between Muirfield Road and Whitlock Rd. would make ward boundaries easier (requested by City of Madison).
10. D. 8/27 – shifted Odana Hills Golf Course census blocks into D. 8, follow bike path as boundary line between D. 8 and D. 27 (requested by City of Madison).
11. D. 13/10 – shifted a triangle-shaped census block at Regent/Monroe/Randall from 13 to 10, eliminates need for a separate ward (requested by City of Madison). Discussion ensued regarding this item. Supervisors Levin, Bayrd, and Erickson all like version 4 better. Supv. Hendrick pointed out that, if the were drawn with the area in district 13, the City would ask for it to be changed during the municipal process.
12. D.1 – city has some unresolved issues in the downtown area around our D. 1/5/2 boundaries. Made changes to try to accommodate issues, which also resolved incumbent conflict in D. 1 and 5 (requested by City of Madison)
13. D. 18/12 – Cleaned up boundary west of Warner Park along the lake, take boundary of D. 18 down to Maple Bluff border (requested by City of Madison)
14. D. 17 – Shifted D 17 further west to incorporate neighborhood boundary (requested by City of Sun Prairie). Discussion ensued. Laura pointed out that the change attempted to keep neighborhoods together, but it was difficult to accommodate all the changes requested by Sun Prairie. Supv. Clausius said that the Sun Prairie City Council president would arrive shortly with a plan for the area.
15. D. 4/33 – Incorporated area just inside of City of Fitchburg, east of Fish Hatchery Road, into D. 4. Increased size of D. 33 that is north of McKee Road and moved it slightly west (as discussed at Committee meeting). Discussion ensued regarding the apartments on Fish Hatchery Road and whether this change made sense relative to the school attendance area.

Supv. Hendrick indicated the items not incorporated into version 5 of Map 37B were:

- D. 22/20 – adjust D. 20 boundaries to include the section west of Portage Road, between Vinburn Rd. and Windsor Rd. (requested by Town of Windsor and Supervisor O'Loughlin);
- Changes that involved Westport, Waunakee, the City and Town of Middleton, and the western edge of Madison;
- Changes to districts 17 and 19 requested by Sun Prairie.

Motion by Hendrick/Levin for the Executive Committee and Hendrick/Clausius for the Redistricting Subcommittee to amend Map 37B version 5 with changes to Districts 9, 15, 25, 26 and 29. Supv. Hendrick indicated that this broad amendment addressed multiple requests. It maintains a Waunakee district, includes the remainder of Westport in district 29, has the City of Middleton in two districts with all of district 26 in the City of Middleton, makes district 9 half City of Middleton and half City of Madison, and district 15 gets

more of the town of Middleton. Supv. Clausius wondered if the incumbents were aware of this amendment. They are not. Discussion ensued regarding the split of the City of Middleton between districts 26 and 9. Supv. Ripp indicated that this amendment puts the area of the Town of Middleton that is being preserved as long-term town area in with the City of Madison district 15, and he did not like it. Motion carried: Executive Committee voting 6-1 (Ripp voting no) and Redistricting Subcommittee 6-1 (Clausius voting no).

Motion by Clausius/Hendrick for the Redistricting Subcommittee and by Ripp/Hendrick for the Executive Committee to amend Map 37B version 5 to make changes to districts 20 and 22, which would result in Supv. O'Loughlin who is the incumbent in district 22 being in that district. Discussion ensued. Supv. Bayrd pointed out that the deviation in district 22 was 7.21% which was over the 5% threshold. Supv. Hendrick said that this would be fixed by dividing the town of Windsor on Highway 51 and by putting Morrisonville into district 20, but that would make a less compact district. Motion to amend failed with the Executive Committee voting 1-6 (Ripp voting aye) and the Redistricting Committee voting 1-6 (Clausius voting aye).

Supv. Clausius asked Sun Prairie City Council president Zach Weber if he had an alternate plan for the northeast corner of the county. Mr. Weber distributed a map of the area and suggested changes that involved districts 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 25. Discussion ensued. Supv. Hesselbein indicated she needed time to discuss the proposed changes with county staff, and wanted a better idea of the changes made to districts 9 and 15. Supv. McDonell suggested bringing together staff from Sun Prairie, Madison, and Dane County to consider changes in the Sun Prairie area.

Motion by McDonell/Miles to postpone consideration of the tentative plan 37B to the call of the chair, allowing time for staff, the chair of the subcommittee, and representatives from the City of Madison, the City of Sun Prairie, and the Town of Burke to meet and discuss proposed changes.

Discussion ensued. Ms. Speer wondered if local governments had seen Mr. Weber's proposed map. He indicated that he had spoken with the affected towns, cities and villages and that there is a concern with losing Supv. O'Loughlin from his district. Supv. Miles commented that increasingly dividing communities would be a problem and that district 20 was to be a rural district. Motion carried with the Executive Committee voting 7-0 and the Redistricting Subcommittee voting 7-0.

Supv. Hendrick thanked the staff for their work, including Fred Iausly, Tim Saterfield, Laura Guyer, and Karin Thurlow. He indicated there would not be a meeting on May 12th. He asked staff to fix the 9 slivers that had not been incorporated into the map and to provide a list to the subcommittee.

Supv. Ripp asked if staff could email the amendment that had been approved to the Town of Middleton. Supv. Richmond asked if staff could switch the numbers on districts 4 and 27 to provide continuity.

Res. 44, 2011-12, Adopting Tentative County Supervisory District Plan was before the Executive Committee and the Redistricting Subcommittee. Supv. Hendrick indicated that the resolution was not properly before the committee and subcommittee because the plan had not yet been finalized. Supv. McDonell indicated the resolution would be on the County Board calendar contingent on committee action.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles for the Redistricting Subcommittee to adjourn, and by Levin/Hendrick for the Executive Committee to adjourn. Motion carried and the committees adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE JUNE 2, 2011 MEETING

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hendrick, McDonell, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, and Clausius

VOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hesselbein

NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Hyer and Hochkammer

NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Richmond

OTHERS PRESENT: Dennis O'Loughlin, Matt Veldran, Jeremy Levin, Brian Grady, Mark Clear, Tom Stoebig, Bob Wipperfurth, Lyle Updike, Don Imhoff, Duane Gau, Jack Martz, Don Madelung, Nadine Walsten, Laura Guyer, Tim Saterfield and Karin Peterson Thurlow

Supv. Hendrick called the meeting to order at 5:35 p.m.

There was no public comment.

Supv. Hendrick pointed out that this was a work meeting and it would be necessary to suspend the rules to take testimony. Motion by Clausius/Speer to suspend the rules to allow public testimony. Motion carried, 5-0.

Mark Clear, 118 Shiloh Dr., Madison addressed the subcommittee, expressing concerns with the 4th district and with the 10th district. He believes the latter is not compact. He pointed out that four supervisory districts overlap his aldermanic district, but none of the current incumbents live within his aldermanic district.

Bob Wipperfurth, 7580 Martha Lane, DeForest, WI 53532 addressed the subcommittee. He is the chair of the Town of Windsor. He urged the committee to change the district 22 boundaries to run up Portage Road. He believed district 22 should leave the incumbent within the district and splitting the town of Windsor keeps urban and rural populations of interest together.

Lyle Updike, 5707 Twin Lane Road, Marshall, WI addressed the subcommittee. He pointed out that the Town of Sun Prairie has embraced low growth and strong agricultural preservation. The town does not want to be part of a district with the City of Sun Prairie or the Town of Burke because they have conflicting interests. He said that the values of the town would not be represented in such a district.

Don Madelung, 6672 Highland Dr., Windsor, WI addressed the subcommittee. He is a Town of Windsor supervisor. He spoke of several issues that Supv. O'Loughlin has been involved in and noted his importance to district 22. He indicated that the urban and rural areas of Windsor could be divided to conform to redistricting principals regarding communities of interest and also maintain the incumbent in his district.

Nadine Walsten, 212 Church St., Brooklyn, WI addressed the subcommittee. She is the president of the Village of Brooklyn. She requested that Brooklyn be moved from district 31 to district 37. Brooklyn shares much in common with Oregon, including joint youth and senior centers, and the two communities are considering merging their municipal courts.

There were no questions for the speakers.

Approval of minutes of the May 11, 2011 meeting was before the subcommittee. Motion by Speer/Miles to approve the minutes with the following amendments: On page 2, number 11, fourth sentence, insert the word “boundary” after the words “if the”; and on page 3, paragraph 1, record the Redistricting Committee vote as 1-5 (Clausius voting no and Speer abstaining). Motion carried, 6-0.

Consideration of Amendments to Tentative Plan 37B was before the subcommittee. Tim Saterfield informed the subcommittee that the City of Madison had identified a number of “slivers” on the draft map that should be addressed. He distributed a map that the City had produced which suggested the appropriate district for each “sliver” and Tim described each area.

Motion by Speer/Cheeks to make the changes to map 37B, version 6, as suggested by the City of Madison for all slivers except in the following three areas:

- An area in district 8 that the City believes could be in district 11, depending on which city aldermanic map is chosen;
- The triangle of land of the Home Depot in district 7 that the City believes could be in district 4; and
- The housing in Brittingham Park that is in district 1 and the City would like in district 13.

Motion carried, 6-0. Supv. Hendrick directed staff to incorporate the City of Madison changes.

Supv. McDonell indicated that the housing in district 1 was separated from the rest of district 13 by the park, and that it should remain in district 1. There was no motion to change the county’s draft map, version 6.

Supv. Richmond asked questions about the Home Depot area. There was no motion.

Brian Grady, the staff person working on redistricting for the City of Madison, noted that the City’s committee was scheduled to meet June 22nd and they would be discussing the area between districts 8 and 11. There was no motion to change the county’s draft map.

Supv. Hendrick asked if there were further amendments.

Motion by Clausius/McDonell to amend districts 3, 19, 20, and 21. Supv. Clausius distributed a map and spoke in favor of the changes. Supv. Hendrick distributed a letter from Mayor Soglin expressing support for map 37B and the approach to keeping urban interests together. Supv. Gau addressed the committee and indicated that he supported changes to the Sun Prairie districts to reflect the interests of Sun Prairie. Supv. Hendrick indicated that the subcommittee had a good discussion with Sun Prairie, but he could not support the changes because they do not preserve the core of the existing district. Motion failed, 1-5 (Clausius voting aye).

Motion by Clausius/Hendrick to amend district 22 to put Morrisonville in district 22 and restore Windsor and DeForest as the core of the district. Laura Guyer responded to questions about the deviations if these changes were made, indicating that the deviations were within 5 percent. Supv. Gau spoke in support of this change. Supv. Hendrick said that this amendment would divide a community of interest because the Town of Windsor would be divided. Motion failed, 1-5 (Clausius voting aye).

Motion by Clausius/Hendrick to reconsider changes to the west side of Madison, Middleton, and Westport districts. Motion failed, 1-5 (Clausius voting aye).

Motion by Hendrick/McDonell to return to the configuration in the version 5 map for the City of Fitchburg districts. Motion carried, 6-0.

Motion by Hendrick/Miles to move the Village of Brooklyn into district 31 and all of the Town of Montrose into district 30. Motion carried, 6-0.

There were no other amendments.

- Res. 44, 2011-12, Adopting Tentative County Supervisory District Plan was before the subcommittee. Motion by McDonell/Miles to direct staff to incorporate map amendments into version 7 and to recommend approval of Res. 44 with the following amendment: on line 18 following the words "Plan 37B" insert "version 7". Motion carried, 5-1 (Clausius voting no).

There was no other business before the subcommittee.

Motion by Miles/Cheeks to adjourn. Motion carried, 6-0, and the committee adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Karin Peterson Thurlow, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.

**JOINT MEETING: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Dane County Board of Supervisors**

MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 19, 2011 MEETING

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Bayrd, Hampton, Hendrick, and Miles

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS EXCUSED: Levin, Ripp

REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: McDonell, Hendrick, Miles, Speer, Cheeks, Clausius, Hesselbein

REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE NONVOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Richmond

REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE NONVOTING MEMBERS EXCUSED: Hochkammer, Hyer

OTHERS PRESENT: Tim Saterfield, Karin Peterson Thurlow

Chair McDonell called the Executive Committee meeting to order at 5:32 p.m. and Supervisor Hendrick called the Redistricting Subcommittee to order at 5:32. Chair McDonell indicated that Supervisor Hendrick would chair the meeting. There was no public comment.

Motion by Clausius/Hesselbein to approve the minutes of the June 2, 2011 redistricting subcommittee meeting. Motion carried 6-0.

- Res. 144, 11-12, Approving Final County Board Supervisory District Plan was before the subcommittee and committee. Tim Saterfield reviewed 7 changes to the tentative supervisory district map based on municipal ward boundaries and distributed data sheets. The seven changes are incorporated into the final map.
- 1. City of Madison – the boundary of Ward 19 in the City of Madison is moved north of E. Washington Ave. between Wright St. and Stoughton Rd, transferring 489 people from District 12 to District 6.
- 2. City of Madison – the boundary of Ward 67 is moved northeast, to Regent St./Proudfit St., transferring 196 people from District 1 to District 13.
- 3. City of Madison/Town of Madison – several blocks in the City of Madison are transferred from District 4 to District 23 in the Beld-Bram-Fisher area, moving the district 23 boundary north to Wingra Creek and transferring 448 people from District 4 to 23; and territory in Ward 1 of the Town of Madison east of Fish Hatchery Road is transferred from District 23 to District 4, resulting in 392 people being transferred from District 23 to District 4.
- 4. City of Madison- the boundary of Ward 85 is moved westward, transferring territory from District 8 to District 11 resulting in 433 people being transferred from District 8 to District 11.
- 5. City of Madison – Ward 80 is transferred from District 11 to District 4 in the Midvale/Council Crest/Odana triangle, resulting in the transfer of 204 people from District 8 to District 4.
- 6. City of Madison – 2 blocks are transferred into Ward 49, and from District 1 to District 5, between Gorham and Johnson/Broom and Henry, resulting in the transfer of 63 people from District 1 to District 5.
- 7. City of Sun Prairie – the boundary of Ward 12 is extended south along Claremore Dr., which results in the transfer of territory from District 21 to District 3. There is no population in the area.

Discussion ensued.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles for the redistricting subcommittee and by Bayrd/Miles for the Executive Committee to approve Res. 144.

Motion by Hesselbein/Miles for the redistricting subcommittee and by Bayrd/Miles for the Executive Committee that the proposed Final Supervisory District Plan to be approved by adoption of Res. 144, 11-12 be amended by moving Ward 80, City of Madison, from District 4 to District 8. There are 204 persons in Ward 80. Moving the ward from District 4 to District 8 will result in the following district sizes:

District 4 – 12,526 (deviation 665 –5%)

District 8 – 13,173 (deviation 18 – 0.1%)

Motion carried, 7-0 by the redistricting subcommittee and 5-0 by the Executive Committee.

Supv. Hendrick announced that Res. 144 reflects the 7 changes necessitated by municipal ward boundaries and the committee then further amended the plan. Vote on Res. 144 as amended, 7-0 by the redistricting subcommittee, 5-0 by the Executive Committee. The motion on Res. 144 as amended carried.

The committees adjourned by unanimous consent at 6:03 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Tim Saterfield, Recorder

Note: These minutes are the notes of the recorder and are subject to change at a subsequent meeting of the committee.