

Milwaukee County Aging & Disability Resource Center Governing Board

Recommendations to DHS following Consumer Forums

1. Consumer Decision-Making

Our long-term care programs are based upon choice and self-direction. While we value these principles, it is sometimes difficult for consumers to make choices with limited information. In order to address consumers' needs for additional information to guide their decision-making, we recommend that

- Each organization contracting with DHS share information re: direct care staff retention, direct care staff pay, additional training provided by the organization, and any specialty areas the organization might have. This data should be included with the information currently provided by the ADRC.
 DHS develop a consumer-to-consumer feedback sharing mechanism(s).
- Whether it's an online message board, creation of a report card for consumers to use, or hosting consumer networking forums, we believe that this type of sharing is valuable to consumers in their decision-making.

2. Functional Screens

We heard many concerns about the screening process and the screen results. In terms of administering the screen, we recommend that

□ The functional screen is administered with a care partner present, as the norm. Consumers should be made aware that this is the expectation.
 □ In advance of the screening, consumers should be informed in writing of the types of things the assessment covers, in order to know what to expect.
 □ Screeners should emphasize that responses be geared toward a consumer's "worst days" as well as how they are doing the day of the screening.

In the interest of transparency, we also recommend that

- Each consumer receives a copy of his/her screen results.
- ☐ Each consumer receives contact information to share concerns they may have regarding their screen results.

3. Shifting the Burden from Consumers

In many cases, DHS policies and procedures serve as barriers to consumers having their needs met in a timely manner, particularly in the IRIS program. Whether it's a delay with an Iris Consulting Agency approving a new employee, a requirement that a consumer obtains multiple estimates for a wheelchair ramp, or finding employees for round-the-clock care needs while following the 40 hour work week policy, the burden falls on the older and/or disabled consumer.

We recommend that

DHS allows more flexibility in IFIS and Family Care to enable consumers to meet exceptional needs. This would require that contracting organizations be granted more authority to make provisional decisions in order to expedite processes that prevent the timely delivery of care. As an example, we think it is reasonable to expect that an IRIS Consulting Agency can review and approve a new employee applicant within three weeks. If it takes longer, the employee should be provisionally approved so that the consumer has continuity of care, rather than having the consumer wait for the agency to complete its work on their timetable. Similarly, if an IRIS consumer has round-the-clock care needs requiring multiple care provide is who may need to occasionally exceed the 40 br. work week, there should be a quick approval process for this. If this situation occurs on a consistent basis, the agency should help to identify potential employees to assist, rather than leaving the consumer in the position of finding caregivers when demand exceeds supply.

We also recognize that consumers of long-term care programs are already facing a shortage of available direct caregivers, and that this need will increase with the aging of the population. We therefore recommend that

DHS leads an initiative to increase the labor pool of direct caregivers and increase the retention of these workers.

ADRC Governing Board Consumer Forums 10/19 & 10/20/16 Summary of Comments (includes additional comments submitted in writing through 11/15/16)

<u>IRIS</u>

	Approval of employees by Iris Consultant (IC) is slow - took one consumer 3 months to get approval from iLife to hire someone, although all the
	correct paperwork was submitted.
	Some policies are burdensone. Consumer is required to get 3 separate bids to build a wheelchair ramp (difficult to find contractors). The need is immediate but she cannot get all of the bids required.
	Employees who provide care need training, transportation.
IJ	Policies seem to conflict with saving money at times. Example of hiring an agency for \$30/hour instead of paying the family \$13/hour. Another
	example involved paying an agency for transportation rather than
	reimbursing a family member at a lower rate.
	Budgets have been reduced, hereby limiting options and flexibility, which
14.4	were the founding principles. Example of consumer being approved for 40
	hours/week of personal care although her needs are far greater.
	IRIS Program has become failless flexible and far more bureaucratic. It
	has evolved from self-directed to overly directed by Wisconsin DHS and
	TMG.
	Great variance in IRIS Consultants. Example of consumer who asked for
	a wheelchair ramp but the first Consultant stated they do not address the
	exterior of the home in their assessment and budget. The most recent
	Consultant has been very responsive to their needs.
□.	Consumer reports that "Iris has been the best thing to happen to me",
	citing the ability to feel safe in her home because she is able to hire
	personal care workers that she chooses using her own networks of friends
	and work connections. She has had the same IRIS Consultant since the beginning, which may explain why the program has worked so well.
	Concern about IRIS's Self-Direction feature starting to erode - why has
کمیا	DHS increased the number of nursing visits when the consumer is
	medically stable? Seems was eful if it's done more than once per year.
	Concern about what happens following a hospitalization for surgery.
	Service hours did not increase although needs did. Instead of paying for a
	nursing visit, more service hours would have been a better use of
	resources.
	Consumer has had a positive experience with the program, "TMG has
	been wonderful; my consultant has been fabulous; everyone I have
	spoken to from management, to RN's as well. Including networking events
	for participants and professionals together have all been wonderful.
.]	Not having the same screener results in different screen results.

IRIS 40 hour work week policy for employees				
	It has caused many problems for consumers, because 1) it's already difficult to find reliable employees; 2) it's another hurdle for consumers in juggling the schedules of so many people - in one consumer's case, there are 12 caregivers involved; 3) it's particularly difficult to find employees for night/weekend hours.			
<u> </u>				
[]	IC agencies do not have a good understanding of the exceptions to this policy			
·[]	Employees with children sometimes need to bring them along. Their childrens' needs limits their ability to work. This leaves the consumer in a bad situation.			
	State DHS needs to do a better job of communicating with consumers since there is so much confusion around this policy.			
Resource Centers				
	Consumer was told by Resource Center staff that they should sign up for			
	IRIS to avoid the cost share in Family Care			
Ü	Not enough information is provided to distinguish between IRIS and			
	Family Care Self-Direction.			
	Could there be a website that has consumer comments about their			
	experiences with providers so that new people I can check it before they			
	make an enrollment decision of the Resistant Russian Resistant Resistant Russian Russi			
ſ"]	Could there be something like a Better Business Bureau rating for these programs? Some type of report card that we could help develop?			
	Provider has had positive experiences calling the ARC and the DRC in			
-	terms of answering questions and identifying resources.			
	Uncertain about whether use of Resource Centers is limited to people			
	based upon income			
	Would like to have additional Resource Center location in neighborhoods -			
	example of the consumer who attends the West Allis Senior Center			
	Consumers don't know that they have a right to have someone else			
	present when the functional screen is administered			
	Disability Resource Center staff have been extremely helpful in advocating			
	for a consumer with dementia when My Choice was unable to recognize			
ra	his needs related to Frontal Temporal Dementia.			
	Many consumers expressed confusion about the use of the functional			
	screen in determining services, both initially and when re-done on an annual basis. Clarification is needed re: the relationship of the screen			
	results to the consumer's budget and level of services.			
	Consumers should be provided copies of their functional screen results. It			
	is currently very difficult to get an organization to provide this.			

Family Care

,[]],	Limits on Durable Medical Equipment budgets are driving people to IRIS
C	
	has been better
	There's a big difference between what consumer thinks is needed and
	what the case manager thinks is needed.
	Case managers need to be sensitive to LGBT consumers and the
	importance of including their care partners in the functional screen as well
	as the care planning
	People don't know what to do when they need more services than they
	are getting.
	Consumer reports that the program has worked well for her and that she
	appreciates her case manager.
	Case managers need education, compassion, and training in building a
	dementia-capable system. They do not recognize the unique needs of
	people with dementia, nor do they incorporate the caregiver in the
	assessment. Example of a case manager not approving transportation for
	the caregiver to accompany the consumer, although the consumer's
	dementia requires that someone stay with him or he becomes agitated.
	How are care partners supposed to identify the lead Dementia staff in
	each MCO?
	Recent decision by My Choice Family Care to end their contract with
	MCFI presents a challenge to 500 consumers well-served by MCFI. They
	will lose continuity of care. This is especially difficult for people who do
	not have anyone else in their lives - their MCFI team has dedicated
	themselves to people in need and this team may be the only support
	system the consumer has. MCFI is known as the organization who took
	on hard-to-serve consumers when no other would.
¹□.	My Choice Family Care is creating a conflict of interest by establishing its
	own Care Management Unit (CMU). What prevents them from promoting
	their CMU over others? What safeguards are in place to ensure their
	CMU is held to the same standards as other CMUs? As an example of
	the lack of integrity on the part of My Choice Family Care: they recruited a
	nurse from MCFI to serve as their new program manager and she in turn
	is offering MCFI employees a \$7k signing bonus to work for My Choice
	Family Care's internal CMU.
	t Needs
	Developing employment opportunities for people with Asperger's
	Syndrome. Example of the successful program developed at Froedtert.
O	Transportation needed for employees to reach consumers who are not on
	a bus line. More bus routes.
	A system of ensuring that people with mental health needs receive their
	medications when their worker is unable to get there (not necessarily
	someone who is enrolled in Family Care or IRIS)
O	More paid caregivers are needed.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

□ More guardians are needed.