

Decision-Making Tool – Ideas

v.3. 8.1.2017

CONTACT PERSON	CONTACT TITLE	DATE SUBMITTED
Todd Campbell	ACS Division Manager	8.4.2017

1. Is this a reduction or increase in funding or a change in policy?



Funding Increase Funding Reduction

Change in Policy

A. POLICY CHANGE

- 2. In the following space, please provide information regarding:
 - a) when the policy was established,
 - b) how the policy was established, and
 - c) what authority can change this policy.

Not applicable.

3. Description of current policy:

Not applicable.

4. Description of proposed policy:

Not applicable.

B. FUNDING CHANGE

5. Is this reduction or increase specific to a revenue stream or to an agency?



Agency Specific Revenue Stream 6. What is the name of the funding stream?

Driver Improvement Surcharge Revenue

7. What restrictions, if any, are on the funds?

Per State Statute 346.655 Driver Improvement Surcharge,

(3) (a) Except as provided in par. (b), all moneys collected from the driver improvement surcharge that are transmitted to the county treasurer under sub. (2) (a) or (b), except the amounts that the county treasurer is required to transmit to the secretary of administration under sub. (2) (a) or (b), shall be retained by the county treasurer and disbursed to the county department under s. 51.42 for services under s. 51.42 for drivers referred through assessment.

(b) If a person receives treatment from an approved tribal treatment facility, as defined in s. 51.01 (2c), in accordance with a driver safety plan under s. 343.30 (1q) (d), the county treasurer shall transmit the amount collected from the person's driver improvement surcharge except the amounts that the treasurer is required to transmit to the secretary of administration under sub. (2) (a) or (b), to the facility for treatment services for drivers referred through assessment.

8. Is this one-time or ongoing revenue?

	One-time
\boxtimes	Ongoing

C. IMPACT

9. If this is a decrease in funding or one-time revenue, what is the projected cost and source of funds to continue services?

The overall reduction is \$43,000. Other sources of funds to continue services include County GPR or Community Aids. Treatment for intoxicated drivers with a Driver Safety Plan has been wholly supported by the Driver Improvement Surcharge with no other funding sources supplementing it.

10. Which agencies are impacted?

Agency Name/ Program	Current Funding	Revised Funding Amount	% of Total Program Funds ¹	Priority
ARC / Intoxicated Driver Program	18,357	16,111		
Journey MHC / CH 20 IDP – Case Mgmt.	69,771	61,234		
Journey MHC / Intoxicated Driver	247,985	217,644		
Tellurian / Outpatient IDP	15,332	13,456		
Total	\$351,445	\$308,445		

¹ Initial funding for 2017 per POS contracts was \$29,736 for ARC; \$17,756 for Tellurian; \$61,234 for Journey CH 20; and \$269,452 for Journey CH 20 IDP counseling .

	Item	Yes	No	<mark>Unknown</mark>
11.	Is this a mandated service?		\boxtimes	
12.	Does this address a priority of DCDHS?	\square		
13.	Does this address a priority of the County Board?			\square
14.	Is this an evidence-based practice (EBP)?			\square
15.	Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?			\square
16.	Was the EBP toolkit used to guide implementation?			\square
17.	Was fidelity to the model monitored within the past year?			\square
18.	Was an outside monitor used to review fidelity to the			\square
	model?			
19.	Has this service or service provider met performance	\boxtimes		
	expectations?			
20.	Is this a WBE/MBE vendor?		\boxtimes	
21.	Have persons (clients, community, service providers) impacted by		\boxtimes	
	this decision been involved in the process?			
22.	Will this decision increase racial equity?		\square	

23. Use the space below to discuss any "No" or "Unknown" responses:

While this is not a mandated service, the funding source requires that the funds be expended for intoxicated driver treatment services.

A variety of the modalities that are employed may be EBP practices. However, details as to these are unknown at this time. ARC Community Services uses a number of SAMHSA best practices models, such as cognitive behavioral model and trauma-focused counseling.

None of these agencies are certified MBE/WBE. The focus of ARC is on women.

The decision to reduce the budget amount is based on the revenue received. Service providers have not been involved in this budget decision.

	Item	Yes	No
24.	Are there impacts on specific geographic areas in Dane County?	\boxtimes	
25.	Will this impact a specific population based on gender, race, sexual orientation, age, income, criminal background?	\boxtimes	
26.	Will this decision decrease racial equity?		\bowtie
27.	Will this decision leave a gap in service?	\square	

28. Use the space below to discuss any "Yes" responses:

All affected providers are located in the City of Madison.

The reduction affects individuals who have had their full driving privileges revoked due to an OWI and are required to obtain treatment in order to have those privileges restored.

It is possible, but unlikely. One of the affected contracts (ARC) has outperformed its units of service expectations in recent years. However, the largest affected contract (Journey MHC) has seen a drop-off in production in the last two years.

29. Please identify any areas of racial disparity this may impact:

	Area
\square	Behavioral Health
\boxtimes	Criminal Justice
	Education
	Employment
	Housing
	Income/Poverty
	Workforce
	Youth in out-of-home placement

- 30. What are the demographics of persons in the service area?
 - 79% White 19% - African American 1% - American Indian or Alaska Native 1% - Other or Unknown

/human services board – budgeting decision-making tool – owi surcharge