



Dane County

Minutes - Final Unless Amended by Committee

Criminal Justice Council

Consider:

Who benefits? Who is burdened?

Who does not have a voice at the table?

How can policymakers mitigate unintended consequences?

Thursday, May 23, 2019

12:15 PM

City-County Building, Room 354

City-County Building, Room 354

A. Call To Order

The meeting was called to order by J. Parisi at 12:17 pm

Present 5 - SHARON CORRIGAN, JOE PARISI, JUAN COLAS, CARLO ESQUEDA, and
ISMAEL OZANNE

Excused 1 - DAVE MAHONEY

*Others Present: C. Dori, J. Hook, M. McCarville, J. Patterson, and new Chief of
Shorewood Hills*

Staff Present: Clark-Bernhardt, Reyes

B. Consideration of Minutes

1. [2019
MIN-047](#) Minutes of the 2/28/19 Criminal Justice Council meeting

Attachments: [2019 MIN-047](#)

A motion was made by CORRIGAN, seconded by COLAS, that the Minutes be approved. The motion carried by a voice vote.

C. Presentations

There were no Presentations.

D. Reports to Committee

1. [2019 RPT-060](#) 2019 Information Budget Request

Attachments: [2019 PPJ-O-04](#)

Discussion Ensued

Supervisor Bayrd spoke regarding the Budget Amendment passed in 2019. Information on racial disparities in criminal justice, data collection, additional research on racial disparities in criminal justice system – data collection aggregate information on these stats

Question regarding periods of time – not concerned about specific dates, 2018 is okay

Discussion ensued around looking at the exact same time period

I. Ozanne: Can report out, but racial information is not always accurate

Clark Bernhardt: Semi-annual reports – 6 months – need to collaborate DPU and Drug Court

In review this will be a touchpoint –not a connection of data points to another. There are some duplicate participants

Clark Bernhardt will come back to the CJC with a template and suggest a smaller team work on fleshing this out

2. Safety and Justice Challenge Reflections

Discussion ensued:

*Innovation Site
Capstone Project
Front-end justice*

Dane County perspective: done more work with data and racial disparities than others in SJC, datasharing MOU, crime classification

*N. Reyes stated that everyone facing challenges integrating these huge datasets, but they are at starting point – we are pretty advanced in types of activities and analyses
Question: Practices that work with or without data – are we doing those?*

3. DCSO and National Association of Counties

Chief Deputy Jeff Hook presented.

Discussion ensued the Cap Times article:

Dane County as example about mental health, BadgerCare eligibility for jail reentry; at National Association of Counties conference, National Association of Sheriffs conference

4. Familiar Faces project - initial work

Discussion Ensued:

Data Drive Justice – Best Practices Implementation Team

*CJC, Human Services, Sheriff's Office, Madison Police Dept, and Dept. of Corrections
Booking alerts eventually*

Data integration; lot of work to get to where we are

*10+ bookings in 3.5 years. Sometimes 4 bookings in one year, but we have a longer
time span*

Drop offs and then reappear. Longer time is better

Definition of SMI/MI, or substance abuse—opiate use

Can we breakdown the diagnosis section?

*Familiar faces in different agencies. Is this an analysis you would like to see regularly; is
this helpful; does it drive policy change?*

*Question: What is full sample size of people booked? It would be helpful to know how
many people are booked total. Even if just 1% can be very large economic hit across
agencies.*

*What percentage of total bookings they account for? Booking alert can come out of this
project. The people who come in are the ones who cycle, get pushed into system and
not stabilized. Then there are competency issues – then they are stuck in the system,
only show up 3-4 times, but that is because they are sitting for a really long time; if they
had been stabilized, we would not see them; if we do not follow through, they will just
come back in. Need to think about details of data to identify that cohort. These people
are responsible for over 3000 bookings – would like to envision people to identify these
are the familiar faces, and need attorneys with special skills because they need to have
their rights protected, can not have attorneys randomly assigned to these people on
either defense or prosecutor side.*

[2019](#)
[PRES-016](#)

DATA DRIVEN JUSTICE BEST PRACTICES IMPLEMENTATION
TEAM

Attachments: [Familiar Faces Presentation to the CJC](#)

E. Action Items

Discussion ensues the following:

Create a criminal justice subcommittee of behavioral health

Read parts of motion – use SIM

Membership of subcommittee

No one representing intercepts 2-5

Why leave to 0-1?

Invite only when expertise helpful, or have them there all the time

Add presiding judge, DA, public defender, also corporation counsel

What is the end outcome for this?

Improve systems at each intercept

SIM report already exists from January 2018

Mental health court discussion

Specialized docket discussion

Want to divert them all together, not MHC, resource needs

Questions of most effective way to address needs; start with early intercepts

Amendment to include Dept of Corrections, Corporation Council, Presiding Judge/designee, DA/designee, Public Defender/designee

Focus on 0-1 for quarter 1 and then work all the way through; more voice in different stages - SIM as a circle

Gathering national information on trends, best practices,

Staffing on subcommittees

Need to staff a third subcommittee;

Need someone with some subject matter involvement of SIM to coordinate

What does EMS bring to the table?

Dispatch/911 calls

Report out on Intercept 0-1 in October

Where can we get someone with knowledge of this overall issue? We will have to work on that piece

Vote, All in favor, none opposed, motion carries

Clark Bernhardt will send out first doodle poll

Staff will be determined,

First share passed amendment to them

Contact everyone and set up date to start with

1. [2019 ACT-044](#) Structure of Criminal Justice Council

F. Future Meeting Items and Dates

The next meeting will be held Thursday, June 27th at 12:15 pm

Note of public conversation was held on Monday, June 3, 2019

Judges plus meeting on was held on Tuesday, June 4, 2019

*Would you like special section on 6/4/19 – no action on 6/3/19 at 12:15 pm for
discussion of pretrial*

nationally, state, and locally

Special meeting held on June 3, 2013 at 12:15 pm.

Share community conversation information

G. Public Comment on Items not on the Agenda

There was no Public Comment on Items not on the Agenda.

H. Such Other Business as Allowed by Law

There were no Such Other Business as Allowed by Law

I. Adjourn

**A motion was made by SHARON CORRIGAN, seconded by ISMAEL OZANNE, that
this meeting be adjourned. The motion carried unanimously.**

Meeting adjourned at 1:18pm.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Janice L. Lee, subject to the Council's approval.